### CALIFORNIA SCORECARD on Payment Reform

Catalyst for Payment Reform has set a target of 20% of payments proven to improve value by 2020. How are we doing in 2014? The results of the second annual California

Scorecard are in and 55.4% of all commercial in-network payments are value oriented - either tied to performance or designed to cut waste. Traditional fee-for-service (FFS), bundled, capitated, and partially capitated payments without quality incentives, make up the

remaining 44%. The use of value-oriented payment is growing rapidly; now we need to determine whether it makes health care better and more affordable.

What portion of value-oriented payments in California place doctors or hospitals at financial risk for their performance?

OF VALUE-ORIENTED PAYMENTS

are "at risk"

OF VALUE-ORIENTED PAYMENTS are "not at risk"

**NON-FFS NON-VISIT PAYMENTS** 

0.0%

OTHER 0.2%

BUNDLED 0.3%

NON-FFS SHARED SAVINGS

0.6%

SHARED SAVINGS

55.4%

0.9%

2.5% SHARED RISK

3.8%

40.7%

CAPITATION

PARTIAL OR CONDITION SPECIFIC CAPITATION

6.4%

FFS + P4P

Only

37% of all hospital payments

54% of all outpatient payments (includes PCPs and Specialists)

are value-oriented





## **Benchmarks for Future Trending**

### **Attributed Members**



Percent of commercial plan members attributed to a provider participating in a payment reform contract, such as those members who choose to enroll in, or do not opt out of, an Accountable Care Organization, Patient Centered Medical Home or other delivery models in which patients are attributed to a provider.

35%

# **Share of Total Dollars Paid to Primary Care Physicians and Specialists**

Of the total outpatient payments made to primary care physicians and specialists, 74% is paid to specialists and 26% is paid to PCPs. Over time, this figure will show if there is a rebalancing of payment between primary and specialty care.



**Non-FFS Payments and Quality** 

Quality is a factor in

98%

of non-FFS payments



Quality is not a factor in

2%

of non-FFS payments

## Transparency Metrics

7 out of 7 health plans offer or support a cost calculator

3 out of 7 health plan hospital choice tools have integrated cost calculators

3 out of 7 health plan physician choice tools have integrated cost calculators

5 out of 7 health plans reported that cost information provided to members considers the members' benefit design relative to copays, cost sharing, and coverage exceptions

### **Hospital Readmissions\***



<sup>\*</sup> Derived from data submitted to eValue8 using NCQA's all-cause readmission measure. Not an official NCQA Benchmark.

#### ©2014 Catalyst for Payment Reform

Tracking the Nation's Progress on Payment Reform was funded with support from The Commonwealth Fund and the California HealthCare Foundation. Utilizing the National Business Coalition on Health's eValue8 health plan survey, the project was conducted in partnership with NBCH and the following business coalitions: the Colorado Business Group on Health, HealthCare 21, the Memphis Business Group on Health, the Mid-Atlantic Business Group on Health, the Northeast Business Group on Health, the Pacific Business Group on Health, and the Washington Health Alliance. CPR thanks these organizations, CPR's Program Director Andréa Caballero, and the health plans that provided data for the Scorecard for their significant contributions to this project.

