MEDICAID BUDGET SURVEY FOR STATE FISCAL YEARS 2015 AND 2016

This survey is being conducted by Health Management Associates for the Kaiser Commission on Medicaid and the
Uninsured. If you have any questions, please call Vern Smith at (517) 318-4819.

State ______Name ____

_____Email _____

Phone

Return Completed Survey to: <u>Vsmith@healthmanagement.com</u>

_____Date ____

	TION 1: MEDICAID EXPENDITURES								
	Medicaid Expenditure Growth: State Fisc		•	· •					
	percentage change in total Medicaid expe	enditures for each sol	irce of funds. (Exclud	e aamınıstrat	ion and Medical				
	Part D Clawback payments.) Percent Change of Each Fund Source								
	Fiscal Year (generally, July 1 to June 3	30)		 	All Fund				
	(Semerally) sary 2 to same of	State	Local or Other	Federal	Sources				
	FY ending in 2014 (FY 2014)	%	%	%	%				
	a. Percentage change: FY 2014 over FY 20	013	76	,,,	,,,				
	FY ending in 2015 (FY 2015) b. Percentage change: FY 2015 over FY 20	014 %	%	%	%				
	FY ending in 2016 (FY 2016) c. Percentage change: FY 2016 over FY 20	015 %	%	%	%				
	actors Driving Total Expenditure Change otal Medicaid spending (all funds) in FY 20		FY 2016?						
	Total Medicaid Spending	r	FY 2015	FY 201	6 (proj)				
	a. Upward Pressures i. Most significant ii. Other significant								
	Pressures ii. Other significan b. Downward i. Most significant								
	Pressures ii. Other significan								
	Comments on Factors (Question 4):	1	1						
	tate GF/GR Spending: Are any of the fac	tors identified helow	affecting GF/GR snen	ding in FV 201	5 or projected f				
	Y 2016? Use the drop-down boxes to indi			_					
	ressures," or "Not a Factor". Use line "d"			•					
	here is no significant difference in state G	•							
τ									
	actors Affecting State General Fund Medicaid								
	actors Affecting State General Fund Medicaid Expenditure Growth Rate	FY 2	015	FY 20:	16 (proj)				
	_	FY 2			16 (proj) ose one>				

Comments on State GF/GR Spending Factors (Question 5):

<choose one>

<choose one>

<choose one>

<choose one>

Change in provider tax revenues or IGTs

e. No significant difference in growth rates

d. Other

6.	Medi	caid Expansion Impact on M	edicaid Spen	ding and State Bud	lgets:	
		* *		ACA Medicaid expa		ot plan to do so in FY 2016, on 1.
	b.	Compared to state projection with state projections? Please identify any sources of Medicaid expansion outside down boxes below ("Savings	ns for FY 2015 f state budge of Medicaid th " "Costs," "N	, were PMPM cost t savings or costs (in that you are aware to Significant Saving	s for expansion en in state-only dollar of for either FY 20 gs or Costs," "Don'	rollees higher, lower or on target <choose "n="" 15="" 2016="" a".)="" attributable="" by="" drop-t="" fy="" impact="" know,"="" of="" one;="" or="" rs)="" th="" the="" the<="" to="" use="" using=""></choose>
		particular area (e.g. BH is a lo	•	_		nges in attributing savings for a
S		Budget Areas	car responsib	FY 2015	FY 2016	Comments
		navioral Health		<choose one=""></choose>	<choose one=""></choose>	Comments
		te Funding for Uncompensated	Care	<choose one=""></choose>	<choose one=""></choose>	
		minal Justice / Corrections	Carc	<choose one=""></choose>	<choose one=""></choose>	
-		reased Revenue (provider or ge	neral tay)	<choose one=""></choose>	<choose one=""></choose>	
	. Oth		ici ai taxj	<choose one=""></choose>	<choose one=""></choose>	
		nments on Expansion Impact	(Question 6)		Shoose ones	
SEC						
1.		_				in total Medicaid enrollment in F\ es including "stair-step" kids).
Γ	Perce	entage Change in Enrollment:	2015 0	ver 2014 2	016 over 2015 (proj	.) Comments
ŀ		Total	i.	% ii.	%	iii.
ŀ	<u> </u>	By Eligibility Group		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,,	111.
ľ	b.	Children	i.	% ii.	%	iii.
ŀ		Pregnant Women	i.	% ii.	%	iii.
	d.	Non-Elderly, Non-Disabled Non-Expansion Adults	i.	% ii.	%	iii.
F		Expansion Adults	i.	% ii.	%	iii.
-		Aged	i.	% ii.	%	iii.
		Disabled	i.	% ii.	%	iii.
	on to	arget? <choose one=""> nments on Expansion Project</choose>	ions (Questic	on 2): the table below, pl	ease identify what	e newly eligible higher, lower or t you believe were the key factors pected to be in FY 2016.
	Ī			FY 2015		FY 2016 (proj.)
	ŀ	a. Upward Pressures		11 2013		11 2010 (p. 0).)
	F	b. <i>Downward</i> Pressures				
4.	Birtl a. b.	nments on Factors (Question hs Financed by Medicaid. How many births were finance where finance of all births in the needs on Births (Question 5)	ced by Medica e state were f	aid in FY 2015? inanced by Medica	 nid in FY 2015?	
	COII	illients on births (Question :	·/·			

SECTION 3: MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY STANDARDS, APPLICATION AND RENEWAL PROCESSES

1.	Optional Eligibility Groups. Using the drop-down boxes, indicate whether the groups listed below were covered in
	FY 2013. If covered in FY 2013, indicate whether that coverage pathway was or will be eliminated (with the advent
	of the new Medicaid and Marketplace coverage options) by checking the appropriate box. If you select "Other
	Coverage Change," please describe the change on the comment line below the table. For eliminations, please also
	provide an estimate of the number of people losing Medicaid eligibility (i.e., not eligible in another category).

	Covered in FY	Coverage Eliminated in:		No Plans	Other	Est. Number of People	
Optional Medicaid Eligibility Group	2013	FY	FY	FY	to	Coverage	Affected (e.g.# losing
Optional Medicald Eligibility Group	(Yes, No)	2014	2015	2016	Eliminate	Change	Medicaid coverage)
	(163, 140)		(Check o	only one b	ox per line	e)	iviculcald (overage)
a. Breast & Cervical Cancer Treatment Program	<choose one=""></choose>						
b. Medically Needy Spend- Down Adults	<choose one=""></choose>						
c. Pregnant Women over 133% FPL	<choose one=""></choose>						
d. Family Planning waiver	<choose one=""></choose>						
e. Family Planning SPA	<choose one=""></choose>						

Comments on optional eligibility groups (Question 1):

2. Other changes in Medicaid eligibility standards: Describe other changes in Medicaid eligibility standards* implemented in FY 2015 or adopted for FY 2016. (Exclude required changes, those listed in question 1, and changes in CHIP-funded program such as shifting stairstep children to Medicaid. Include changes related to the ACA Medicaid expansion.) Use the drop-down boxes to indicate the Year, the "Group Affected" ("Adults", "ABD or Non-MAGI" or "Other") and the "Nature of Impact" ("Expansion," "Restriction," or "Neutral" effect from the beneficiary's perspective). If no changes, check the box on line "d."

Nature of Eligibility Change	Year	Group Affected	Est. Number of People Affected	Nature of Impact
a.	<choose one=""></choose>	<choose one=""></choose>		<choose one=""></choose>
b.	<choose one=""></choose>	<choose one=""></choose>		<choose one=""></choose>
c.	<choose one=""></choose>	<choose one=""></choose>		<choose one=""></choose>
d No changes in either FV 2015 or FV 201	6			

^{*&}quot;Eligibility standards" include income standards, asset tests, retroactivity, continuous eligibility, treatment of asset transfers or income, enrollment caps or buy-in options (including Ticket to Work and Work Incentive Improvement Act or the DRA Family Opportunity Act.)

Comments on change in eligibility standards (Question 2): _

- **3. Hospital Presumptive Eligibility (HPE)**: Starting January 1, 2014, the ACA allows qualified hospitals to make Medicaid presumptive eligibility determinations if they choose to and agree to abide by state policies and procedures. Please briefly describe the level of participation by hospitals in your HPE program (e.g. approx. % of hospitals participating):
- 4. Corrections-Related Eligibility Processes.
 - **a.** Has your state adopted a policy or does it plan to adopt a policy to suspend coverage or benefits (rather than terminating eligibility) when a Medicaid enrollee enters prison/jail? <choose one>
 - **b.** Please briefly describe other policies/initiatives intended to facilitate Medicaid enrollment for corrections-related populations.
 - **c.** Please briefly describe other policies/initiatives intended to coordinate care for corrections-related populations enrolled in Medicaid.

Comments on Corrections-Related Processes (Question 4).

- 5. Renewal: Are you experiencing challenges processing MAGI-based renewals? <choose one> If yes, please describe.
- 6. FMAP Claiming for Medicaid Expansion States: As part of FMAP claiming, is your state experiencing any challenges in identifying low-income parents who would have been eligible prior to the Medicaid expansion? <choose one> If yes, please describe.

SECTION 4: PROVIDER PAYMENT RATES AND PROVIDER TAXES / ASSESSMENTS

1.	Provider Payment Rates: Compared to the prior year, indicate by provider type any rate changes implemented in FY
	2015 or planned for FY 2016. Use "+" to denote an increase, "-" to denote a decrease, or "0" to denote "no
	change". (Include COLA or inflationary changes as increases.) Note: the actual percentage change is helpful but a
	"+", "-" or "0" is sufficient.

	Provider Type	FY 2015	FY 2016
a.	Inpatient hospital		
b.	Outpatient hospital		
c.	Doctors – Primary Care	N/A	
d.	Doctors – specialists		
e.	Dentists		
f.	Managed Care Organizations		
g.	Nursing Facilities		
h.	Pharmacy Ingredient Cost <i>Methodology</i>		
i.	Pharmacy Dispensing Fees		

	ii managea care organizacions		
	g. Nursing Facilities		
	h. Pharmacy Ingredient Cost <i>Methodology</i>		
	i. Pharmacy Dispensing Fees		
	Comments on Provider Payment Rates (Question 1):		
2.	ACA-Required Payment Increases for Primary Care. Did your state coa. After December 31, 2014 through the end of FY 2015?b. In FY 2016?	ntinue the ACA increase	e in whole or in part: <choose one=""> <choose one=""></choose></choose>
3.	Pharmacy Reimbursement: Briefly describe any change in ingredient change from/to AWP, WAC, AAC, NADAC, or other benchmark) and w fees was associated with a change in ingredient cost methodology:		
4. 5.	Potentially Preventable Readmissions: Use the drop-down box to incan inpatient hospital reimbursement incentive/penalty for potentially Low-Income Pool (LIP). Does your state currently have a Low-Income	preventable readmission	•
	a. If so, does your state plan to make any changes to its design? Plea		changes.
6.	Provider Reimbursement for Family-Planning and Pregnancy-Related providers for family planning and perinatal services? (exclude reimbura. If yes, please indicate which services are reimbursed through the Physicians for Vaginal delivery Physicians for Caesarian delivery	rsement through RBMC) se global fees (check all t	<pre><choose one=""> that apply):</choose></pre>
	 ☐ Physician for anesthesia ☐ Prenatal visits ☐ Other: ☐ Dest-Partum Visit ☐ Other: ☐ Dest-Partum Visit 	Prenatal screer	
	all that apply): Separate lump sum Capitation Fee-For		
	c. Has your state adopted or does it plan to adopt payment policies elective deliveries? <choose one=""> If yes, please briefly describe.</choose>	to remove incentives fo	r conducting early
7	Provider Tayes / Assessments: Please use the dron-down hoves in the	a table below to indicate	nrovider taxes in

7. Provider Taxes / Assessments: Please use the drop-down boxes in the table below to indicate provider taxes in place in FY 2014 and new taxes or changes for FY 2014 and FY 2015. In the far right columns, indicate whether caps of 3.5% or 5.5% of net patient revenues would require the state to decrease the established rate(s).

Provider Group Subject to Tax				ew, Increased, Decreased, hange or N/A) in:	Does tax exceed specified percentage of Net Patient Revenues		
		2014 (Yes, NO)	FY 2015	FY 2016	Exceeds 3.5%	Exceeds 5.5%	
a.	Hospitals	<choose one=""></choose>	<choose one=""></choose>	<choose one=""></choose>	<choose one=""></choose>	<choose one=""></choose>	
b.	ICF/ID	<choose one=""></choose>	<choose one=""></choose>	<choose one=""></choose>	<choose one=""></choose>	<choose one=""></choose>	
c.	Nursing Facilities	<choose one=""></choose>	<choose one=""></choose>	<choose one=""></choose>	<choose one=""></choose>	<choose one=""></choose>	
d.	Other:	<choose one=""></choose>	<choose one=""></choose>	<choose one=""></choose>	<choose one=""></choose>	<choose one=""></choose>	
e.	Other:	<choose one=""></choose>	<choose one=""></choose>	<choose one=""></choose>	<choose one=""></choose>	<choose one=""></choose>	

f. Provider Taxes/Fees and the Medicaid expansion: Is your state using or planning to use provide	r taxes/fees to
fund all or part of the costs of the ACA Medicaid expansion?	<choose one<="" td=""></choose>

Comments on provider taxes / assessments:

SECTION 5: MONTHLY CONTRIBUTIONS / PREMIUMS AND OTHER COST-SHARING CHANGES

1. Changes in Monthly Contributions / Premiums: In the table below, please describe any monthly contribution or premium policy changes in FY 2015 or planned for FY 2016. (Exclude inflationary changes as well as requirements for CHIP-funded or premium assistance programs.) Use drop-down boxes to indicate Year and the Nature of Impact ("New," "New only for expansion population," "Increase," "Decrease," or "Elimination" of an existing requirement, or a "Neutral Effect.") If there are no changes to report for either year, check the box on line "d."

Monthly Contribution Action	Fiscal Year	Effective Date	Eligibility Groups Affected	Nature of Impact	Waiver or SPA		
a.	<choose one=""></choose>			<choose one=""></choose>	<choose one=""></choose>		
b.	<choose one=""></choose>			<choose one=""></choose>	<choose one=""></choose>		
c.	<choose one=""></choose>			<choose one=""></choose>	<choose one=""></choose>		
d. No premium changes in either FY 2015 or FY 2016							

2. Changes in Cost-Sharing: In the table below, please describe any cost-sharing policy changes in FY 2015 or planned for FY 2016. Use drop-down boxes to indicate Year and the Nature of Impact as you did in the question above. If there are no cost-sharing changes to report for either year, check the box on line "d."

Cost-Sharing Action	Fiscal Year	Effective Date	Eligibility Groups Affected	Nature of Impact	Waiver or SPA		
a.	<choose one=""></choose>			<choose one=""></choose>	<choose one=""></choose>		
b.	<choose one=""></choose>			<choose one=""></choose>	<choose one=""></choose>		
c.	<choose one=""></choose>			<choose one=""></choose>	<choose one=""></choose>		
d. No cost-sharing changes in either FY 2015 or FY 2016							

Comments on	premiums and cost sharii	ng (Questions 1 and 2):
--------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------

SECTION 6: BENEFIT AND PHARMACY CHANGES

Benefit Actions. Describe below any benefits changes implemented during FY 2015 or planned for FY 2016. (Include long term care benefit changes. Exclude pharmacy changes which are covered separately below.) Use drop-downs to indicate Year, Nature of Impact (from beneficiary's perspective, is it an "Expansion," "Limitation," an "Elimination," or a change with a "Neutral Effect"). If there are no benefit changes for either year, check the box on line "d."

Benefit Change	Year	Effective Date	Eligibility Groups Affected	Nature of Impact	Waiver or SPA			
a.	<choose one=""></choose>			<choose one=""></choose>	<choose one=""></choose>			
b.	<choose one=""></choose>			<choose one=""></choose>	<choose one=""></choose>			
c.	<choose one=""></choose>			<choose one=""></choose>	<choose one=""></choose>			
d. No changes in either FY 2015 or FY 2016								

b.							<choose one=""></choose>	
	,		<choose one=""></choose>			<choose one=""></choose>	<choose one=""></choose>	
c.			<choose one=""></choose>			<choose one=""></choose>	<choose one=""></choose>	
d		No changes in either FY 2015 or FY	2016					
	Con	nments on benefit changes:						
2.	Mental Health Parity. On April 6, 2015, CMS released a proposed rule that would apply certain provisions of the Mental Health Parity and Addiction Equity Act of 2008 to Medicaid and CHIP. Please briefly comment on the potential impact of the proposed rule on your program:							
3.		BS State Plan Option (Section 19 ilable under Section 1915(c) wai		•	•	•		
3.	ava	• •	vers to individua	als with inco	mes up to 300%	of the SSI federal b		
3.	ava a.	ilable under Section 1915(c) wai	vers to individua ate Plan Amendi o indicate whetl	als with inco ment (SPA) her a new 1	mes up to 300% n place in FY 201 915(i) SPA was "I	of the SSI federal b 14? mplemented in FY	enefit rate. Yes 🗌 No 🗌	
3.	ava a. b.	ilable under Section 1915(c) wait Did your state have a 1915(i) Sta Please use the drop-down box to	vers to individua ate Plan Amendi o indicate whetl nether the state	als with inco ment (SPA) her a new 1 has "No pla	mes up to 300% n place in FY 201 915(i) SPA was "I	of the SSI federal b 14? mplemented in FY	enefit rate. Yes 🗌 No 🗌 2015," "To be	
3.	ava a. b.	ilable under Section 1915(c) wais Did your state have a 1915(i) Sta Please use the drop-down box t implemented in FY 2016", or wh	vers to individua ate Plan Amendi to indicate whetl nether the state populations/co	als with inco ment (SPA) her a new 1 has "No pla nditions:	mes up to 300% n place in FY 201 915(i) SPA was "I ns to implement	of the SSI federal b 14? mplemented in FY ." <ch< td=""><td>enefit rate. Yes 🗌 No 🗌 2015," "To be</td></ch<>	enefit rate. Yes 🗌 No 🗌 2015," "To be	

	Total pharmacy expenditure	ures, net of re	ebates,		annual percentage ch L5 and projected for 2015 over 2014	FY 2016.
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	8		i. %	ii. %	iii. %
	Comments on Factors Affecti Frowth or decline in <i>total</i> Med			~	~	
	pecialty/High-Cost Drugs (as If available, please indicat drugs as a percent of tota	e for FYs 201	L4 and		ed for FY 2016 spendi	ng on specialty/high cost
		_	_	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016 (proj.)
	Specialty Rx expenditures as a p	ercent of total	al	i. %	ii. %	iii. %
pharmacy expenditures:				reimhursement (-hanges targeted at s	necialty or high-cost drugs
h	 Please briefly describe any 					
b	 Please briefly describe and FY 2015 or planned for FY 		Officy Of	Telliburselleri (pecially of flight cost drugs
С	FY 2015 or planned for FY Please describe any mana FY 2016 (*e.g., carve-outs	2016: ged care-rela , risk-sharing	ated po	olicy changes targe rm PA policy requ	eted at specialty drug	gs in FY 2015 or planned fo
c S p	FY 2015 or planned for FY Please describe any mana	2016: ged care-rela , risk-sharing nent Tools. Fotable policy c	ated pogg, unifo	olicy changes targe rm PA policy requ pharmacy manage s implemented in	eted at specialty drug irements, etc.):	s in FY 2015 or planned fo
c S p	FY 2015 or planned for FY Please describe any mana FY 2016 (*e.g., carve-outs relected Pharmacy Managem place in FY 2014 as well as not	2016: ged care-rela , risk-sharing nent Tools. Fotable policy c	or the changes for eithe	olicy changes targe rm PA policy requ pharmacy manage s implemented in	eted at specialty drug irements, etc.): ement tools listed be FY 2015 or planned f	s in FY 2015 or planned fo
s p li	FY 2015 or planned for FY Please describe any mana FY 2016 (*e.g., carve-outs relected Pharmacy Managem place in FY 2014 as well as not the "d" if there are no change	ged care-rela , risk-sharing nent Tools. Fotable policy class to report for	or the changes for eithe	plicy changes targe rm PA policy requ pharmacy manage s implemented in er year.	eted at specialty drug irements, etc.): ement tools listed be FY 2015 or planned f	is in FY 2015 or planned fo low, indicate what was in or FY 2016. Check the box
S p li	FY 2015 or planned for FY Please describe any mana FY 2016 (*e.g., carve-outs relected Pharmacy Managem place in FY 2014 as well as not the "d" if there are no change Program Tool/Policy	ged care-rela , risk-sharing nent Tools. Fotable policy casto report for place at of FY 202	or the changes for eithe	pharmacy manages implemented in er year.	eted at specialty drug irements, etc.): ement tools listed be FY 2015 or planned f	is in FY 2015 or planned fo low, indicate what was in or FY 2016. Check the box
S p li	FY 2015 or planned for FY Please describe any mana FY 2016 (*e.g., carve-outs Felected Pharmacy Managem Place in FY 2014 as well as not ne "d" if there are no change Program Tool/Policy Preferred Drug List (PDL)	ged care-rela , risk-sharing nent Tools. Fotable policy casto report for place at of FY 202	or the changes for eithe	pharmacy manages implemented in er year. FY(s)	eted at specialty drug irements, etc.): ement tools listed be FY 2015 or planned f	is in FY 2015 or planned fo low, indicate what was in or FY 2016. Check the box
S p lii	FY 2015 or planned for FY Please describe any mana FY 2016 (*e.g., carve-outs selected Pharmacy Managem place in FY 2014 as well as not ine "d" if there are no change Program Tool/Policy Preferred Drug List (PDL) Supplemental Rebates	ged care-rela , risk-sharing nent Tools. Fotable policy of the sto report for the store of FY 202	or the changes or either tend	pharmacy manages implemented in er year. FY(s) <choose one=""></choose>	eted at specialty drug irements, etc.): ement tools listed be FY 2015 or planned f	low, indicate what was in or FY 2016. Check the box
S p lii a b b c c d	FY 2015 or planned for FY Please describe any mana FY 2016 (*e.g., carve-outs Please describe any mana FI 2016 (*e.g., carve-outs Please describe any mana FY 2016 (*e.g., carve-outs Please describe any mana FY 2016 (*e.g., carve-outs Please describe any mana FY 2016 (*e.g., carve-outs FI	ged care-rela , risk-sharing nent Tools. For table policy of the policy	or the change: or eithe tend 114?	pharmacy manages implemented in er year. FY(s) <choose one=""> <choose one=""></choose></choose>	eted at specialty drug irements, etc.): ement tools listed be FY 2015 or planned f Specify Notable Po	is in FY 2015 or planned for low, indicate what was in or FY 2016. Check the box
S p lii a b b c c d	FY 2015 or planned for FY Please describe any mana FY 2016 (*e.g., carve-outs Relected Pharmacy Managem Place in FY 2014 as well as not ine "d" if there are no change Program Tool/Policy Preferred Drug List (PDL) Supplemental Rebates Prescription Cap No changes in either FY 20 Other Pharmacy Changes. Plead or planned for FY 2016.	ged care-rela , risk-sharing nent Tools. For table policy of the policy	or the changes or either tend of 14?	pharmacy manages implemented in er year. FY(s) <choose one=""> <choose one=""> </choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose>	eted at specialty drug irements, etc.): ement tools listed be FY 2015 or planned f Specify Notable Po	is in FY 2015 or planned for low, indicate what was in or FY 2016. Check the box
S p lii a b b c c d	FY 2015 or planned for FY Please describe any mana FY 2016 (*e.g., carve-outs Please describe any mana FY 2016 (*e.g., carve-outs Please describe any mana FY 2016 (*e.g., carve-outs Please describe any mana FY 2014 as well as not need of the first of there are no change Program Tool/Policy Preferred Drug List (PDL) Supplemental Rebates Prescription Cap No changes in either FY 2000 Pother Pharmacy Changes. Please planned for FY 2016.	ged care-rela , risk-sharing nent Tools. Fo table policy of table policy of In place at of FY 20: 015 or FY 2016 ease indicate	or the changes or either tend of 14?	pharmacy manages implemented in er year. FY(s) <choose one=""> <choose one=""> </choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose></choose>	eted at specialty drug irements, etc.): ement tools listed be FY 2015 or planned f Specify Notable Po	Is in FY 2015 or planned for low, indicate what was in or FY 2016. Check the box

¹ There is no standard definition of specialty drugs across Medicaid programs, but generally included are physician-administered drugs, biologics, Sovaldi and other new Hep C drugs, and other high-cost drugs.

SECTION 7: MEDICAID DELIVERY SYSTEM CHANGES

Definitions: Throughout Section 7 and Section 7A, we use the following terminology:

- MCO: comprehensive risk-based managed care contracts
- PHP: either a PIHP or PAHP, a benefit-specific risk-based prepaid health plan (e.g. behavioral health, dental, etc.)
- **FFS:** refers to regular fee-for-service or a non-capitated managed care arrangement (e.g. PCCM) where providers are paid on a FFS basis by the state agency.

1.	Medicaid Managed Care Overview. What types of managed care systems are in place in your state's Medicaid program as of July 2015? <i>(check all that apply)</i> :
	☐ MCO ☐ PCCM (Primary Care Case Management) ☐ PHP ☐ Other:
	No managed care programs operating in your state Medicaid program as of July 2015.

2. Population. As of July 1, 2015, please indicate the approximate share of your Medicaid population served by each acute physical health care delivery system model listed in the table below. If possible, please also indicate the share of each eligibility group served by each health care delivery system model.

	Delivery System	Share of Medicaid population by Delivery System as of July 1, 2015 (Each column should sum to 100%)							
	benvery system	Total Population	Child	Low-income Adult	Expansion Adult	Aged & Disabled	Duals		
a.	MCOs	%	%	%	%	%	%		
b.	PCCM	%	%	%	%	%	%		
c.	Fee For Service (FFS)	%	%	%	%	%	%		
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		

Comments on populations served (Question 2): ___

3. Coverage of Select Benefits as of July 1, 2015. For each of the benefits listed in the table below, please indicate the delivery system(s) used to provide the benefit as of July 1, 2015 by checking the appropriate boxes. If the benefit is not covered for any eligibility group, please indicate that in the "Notes" column. Please note in the "Changes" column if you plan to change how these benefits are delivered (e.g. carve-in or carve-out) in FY 2016.

For example: If prescription drugs for some populations are covered as part of capitation for comprehensive contracts with MCOs but paid fee-for-service for others, you would check the boxes in line a for MCO and FFS and briefly describe in the notes column how it differs by population.

Benefit	Delivery systems used as of July 1, 2015 (check all that apply):			Changes in FY 2015	Changes in FY 2016	Notes (differs by population, region, etc.):
	мсо	PHP	FFS			
a. Prescription drugs						
b. Dental – Kids						
c. Dental – Adults						
d. Outpatient mental health services						
e. Inpatient mental health services						
f. Substance abuse services						
g. HCBS LTSS						
h. Institutional LTSS						

Comments on selected benefit-related delivery system changes (Question 3):
--

SECTION 7A: MCOS / COMPREHENSIVE RISK-BASED MANAGED CARE

. [oid your state implement, or does it plan to	o implement, policy change:	s designed to <i>increa</i>	<i>ise</i> the num	ber of	
e	nrollees served in MCOs in FY 2015 or FY 2	2016? <choose one=""></choose>				
	If "yes," identify the types of policy change	es that apply below:				
RB	MC Expansions		FY 2015	F	Y 2016	
a	. Implement a new MCO program (no MCOs	the previous year)				
k	Expand geographic service area					
C	Enroll additional eligibility groups in MCO p					
	i. If so, which group(s) has been/will be ac					
C	 Change from voluntary to mandatory enrol i. If so, which group(s) has been/will be sh 					
_				f II		
	your state implemented, or plans to implemented, or plans to implemented to the state of the sta					
S	erved in comprehensive managed care pla	ans in FY 15 or FY 16, please	briefly describe the	changes: _		
-	Comments on MCO enrollment change	es:				
N	Nedical Loss Ratio (MLR).					
а	. As of July 1, 2015, has your state establ	lished a minimum MLR requ	uirement for Medica	id MCO pla	ns? <choose< td=""></choose<>	
k	. If so, what is the minimum MLR for Me	dicaid MCO plans?				
C	 Are care management costs counted as 	s medical expenses?			<choose on<="" td=""></choose>	
	Comments on MLR:					
A	uto-Enrollment: Does your state use an a	uto-enrollment process for	those who don't sel	lect a plan?	<choose one<="" td=""></choose>	
а	. If yes, about what share of enrollees wa	as auto-assigned on an aver	rage monthly basis i	n FY 2015?	%	
	(If the percentage varies by program ar	nd/or geographic area, plea	se explain in the cor	nment line.,)	
k	. Please indicate whether the factors list	ed below are included in yo	our state's auto-enro	ollment algo	rithm.	
	(Check all that apply.)	·				
i.	☐ Plan capacity	iv. Plan cost				
ii.	Balancing enrollment among plans	v. Encouraging new p	olan entrants			
iii.	☐ Plan quality ranking	iv. Other measure (pl				
	Comments on auto-enrollment process	•	, ,,			
N	ACO Program Initiatives to Improve Quali		track specific quality	/ measures	(eg HFDIS©	
	ve are interested in strategies to enhance					
	hether your state had any of the following	. ,		• •		
	nitiatives in FY 2015 or plans to do so in FY		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
	·		In Place	New or Expanded in:		
	Quality Initiatives in M	CO Contracts	in FY 14	FY 15	FY 16	
a.	Pay for Performance					
b.	Managed Care Payment Withhold					
c.	Require MCOs to publicly report quality met	trics (e.g., a "report card")				
d.	Performance Bonus or penalties					
e.	Other:					
f.	Other:					
g.	2015 Withhold. If you use a Managed Ca	•				
	capitation payments was withheld in FY	·				
h.	2016 Withhold. Please indicate any char	nges in the withhold require	ement to be applied	in FY 2016:		
i.	HEDIS Measures in Contracting. Does yo	our state include or plan to	include HEDIS score	s among th	e criteria for	
	selecting plans to contract with? <choos< td=""><td>e one></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></choos<>	e one>				
	Comments on Quality Initiatives in MCO					
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

Medicaid program relate to the proposed rule.

	SECTION 7B: PRIMARY CARE CASE MANAGEMENT (PCCM)	
1.	Did your state implement, or does it plan to implement, policy changes designed to increase the numb enrollees served through your PCCM program in FY 2015 or FY 2016?	er of <i><choose i="" one<=""></choose></i>
	a. If so, please briefly describe the change(s):	
2.	 Did your state implement, or plan to implement, policy changes designed to decrease the number of enserved through your PCCM program in FY 2015 or FY 2016? 	nrollees <choose one=""></choose>
	b. If so, please briefly describe the change(s):	
	SECTION 7C: BENEFIT-SPECIFIC RISK-BASED PREPAID HEALTH PLAN (PHP)	
1.	 Did your state implement, or does it plan to implement a new PHP program or policy changes designed the number of enrollees served through a PHP in FY 2015 or FY 2016? 	to <i>increase</i> <choose one<="" th=""></choose>
	a. If so, please briefly describe the change(s):	
2.	Did your state eliminate a PHP program or implement, or plan to implement, policy changes designed t the number of enrollees served through your PHP program in FY 2015 or FY 2016?	to decrease <choose one<="" th=""></choose>
	b. If so, please briefly describe the change(s):	
	SECTION 7D: DELIVERY SYSTEM OR PAYMENT REFORMS	

1. Did your state implement or expand, or does it plan to implement or expand, delivery system or payment reform initiatives (including multi-payer initiatives) in FY 2015 or FY 2016? <choose one>

If "yes," please check below all applicable initiatives implemented or expanded. Please use the "Notes/Additional Information" column to briefly describe or provide a web link where a description or additional information can be found for each initiative in place or new/expanded:

Delivery Cychem Referms Initiatives		In Place in	New or Expanded in:		Notes / Additional Information
	Delivery System Reform Initiatives	FY 14	FY 15	FY 16	Notes/Additional Information:
a.	Patient-Centered Medical Home				
b.	Health Homes (under ACA Section 2703)				
c.	Accountable Care Organization				
d.	Dual Eligible Initiative (Financial Alignment				
	Demonstrations)				
e.	Dual Eligible Initiative (Outside the Financial				
	Alignment Demonstrations)				
f.	Episode of Care Payments				
g.	Delivery System Reform Incentive Payment				
	(DSRIP) waiver				
h.	All-Payer Claims Database				
i.	Other:				

n.	All-Payer Cialitis Dalabase			l L			\square	
i.	Other:							
2.	If your state has or will implement an initiativ <i>provider level</i> , please briefly describe the init etc.):					_		
3.	If your state has or will implement an initiative of health, please briefly describe the initiative		-	•	-		•	
4.	If your state is involved in the development o implications of the SIM grant for your state's	•				И gran	t, plea	ase briefly describe the

5.	If your state has or will implement an initiative focused on <i>reducing non-emergent use of the Emergency Department (ED)</i> , (e.g. super-utilizer programs) or other initiatives, please briefly describe the initiative and your experience so far (issues or challenges, opportunities or positive effects):									
SE	CTION 8: LONG TERM SERVICES AND SUPPORTS (LTSS) REBALANCING									
1.	Did your state increase, or does it plan to increase, the number of persons receiving LTSS in hom based settings in FY 2015 or 2016? <choose one=""></choose>	e and con	nmunity							
	If "yes," please check below all of the rebalancing tools/methods used:									
	LTSS Rebalancing Tools/Methods	FY 15	FY 16							
	a. Expand the number of persons served in home and community-based services (HCBS) waivers (including those funded through the Money Follows the Person program)									
	b. Expand the number of persons served under the HCBS State Plan Option - 1915(i)									
	c. Build rebalancing incentives into managed care contracts covering LTSS									
	d. Add a new PACE site or increase the number of persons served at PACE sites	$\vdash\vdash\vdash$								
	 close/down-size a state institution and transition residents into community settings lmplement/ tighten Certificate of Need program or impose a moratorium on construction of new institutional beds 									
	g. Other:									
	Comments on Rebalancing Tools/Methods (Question 1):									
 3. 	If your state added new restrictions or limitations , or plans to do so (such as eliminating a PACE site or capping HCBS waiver enrollment), on access to HCBS in FY 2015 or FY 2016 (other than benefit changes described under Section 6 above), please briefly describe the changes:									
5.	HCBS Settings Rule: Please briefly describe any significant issues, concerns or opportunities that have emerged to date related to the implementation of your state's HCBS Statewide Transition Plan required by the HCBS Final Rule (released in January 2014.)									
	Other LTSS Comments:									
SE	CTION 9: FUTURE OUTLOOK FOR THE MEDICAID PROGRAM AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS / SUCCESS	ES TO DA	TE							
1.	What do you foresee as the top two or three issues or challenges over the next year or so for your state's Medicaid program?									
2.	What do you foresee as the two or three top priorities for your state's Medicaid program over the next year or so?									
3.	Does the Supreme Court's decision in <i>King v. Burwell</i> have implications for your state's Medicaid program? Please briefly describe.									
4.	When you step back and look at your Medicaid program - considering things such as administration, its impact in the community and health care marketplace, what you have accomplished and what you are accomplishing - what is it that you take the most pride in about Medicaid in your state?									

This completes the survey. Thank you very much.