

## Health Insurance Coverage in America

# 2002 Data Update 

December 2003
commission
on


The Kaiser Commission on Medicaid and the Uninsured provides information and analysis on health care coverage and access for the low-income population, with a special focus on Medicaid's role and coverage of the uninsured. Begun in 1991 and based in the Kaiser Family Foundation's Washington, DC office, the Commission is the largest operating program of the Foundation. The Commission's work is conducted by Foundation staff under the guidance of a bipartisan group of national leaders and experts in health care and public policy.

Since it's 1998 report, "Uninsured in America", the Commission has been updating both national and state information on the uninsured annually, examining how many Americans lack coverage, who the uninsured are, and the reasons behind yearly changes. These reports focus on describing the nature of health insurance coverage overall, with a particular focus on Iow-income families.

Over 43 million Americans were without health insurance in 2002. Since 2000, the number of uninsured under the age of 65 grew by 3.7 million-almost a 10 Opercent increase in just two years. The downturn in the economy continued to affect family incomes and their health insurance coverage. Compared to two years before, more of the population in 2002 was from low-income families, who are at the greatest risk of losing their health insurance coverage. Public insurance programs filled in the gap for children created by declining employer-sponsored coverage, however state budget crises curbed expansions of public insurance to more low-income adults. Consequently, nonelderly adults accounted for all of the recent growth in the number of uninsured.

By closely monitoring changes in the number and composition of the uninsured, it is the Commission's hope that this report will continue to inform policy debates and assist in the evaluation of policy options to address the uninsured.


James R. TalIon Chairman


Diane Rowland, Sc.D. Executive Director

# Health Insurance Coverage in America 

## 2002 Data Update

## December 2003

Prepared by

Catherine Hoffman
Kaiser Commission on Medicaid and the Uninsured

Marie Wang
The Urban Institute

## Acknowledgments

Special thanks go to several Commission staff:

* Alicia Carbaugh for her analytic support and data-precision,
* to Brandi Simmons for her graphic design skills that ensure a clear message, and
* to Rakesh Singh, whose help in communicating the Commission's work is invaluable.


## Contents

Highlights ..... 1
Profile of the Uninsured
Figure 1: Number of Nonelderly Uninsured Americans, 1994-2002 ..... 6
Figure 2 : Health Insurance Coverage, 2001-2002 ..... 6
Figure 3 : The Nonelderly Uninsured, by Poverty Level, 2002 ..... 7
Figure 4 : Health Insurance Coverage of the Nonelderly by Poverty Level, 2002 ..... 7
Figure 5 : Nonelderly Uninsured by Age Groups, 2002 .....  8
Figure 6 : Uninsured Rates by Age and Income Groups, 2002 .....  8
Figure 7 : The Nonelderly Uninsured, by Age and Income Groups, 2002 ..... 9
Figure 8 : Health Insurance Coverage of Children and Nonelderly Adults, 2002 ..... 9
Figure 9 : Nonelderly Uninsured by Family Work Status, 2002 ..... 10
Figure 10: Health Insurance Coverage, by Family Poverty Level and Work Status, 2002 ..... 10
Figure 11: Health Status Within Health Insurance Groups, 2002 ..... 11
Figure 12: Health Insurance Coverage of Children and Adults, by Health Status, 2002 ..... 11
Figure 13: Uninsured vs. Total Nonelderly Population, by Race/Ethnicity, 2002 ..... 12
Figure 14: Uninsured Rates Among Racial/Ethnic and Income Groups, 2002 ..... 12
Figure 15: The Nonelderly Uninsured by Citizenship, 2002 ..... 13
Figure 16: Uninsured Rates Among the Nonelderly by State, 2001-2002 ..... 13
Trends in Health Care Coverage
Figure 17: Changes in Income Distribution, 2000-01 vs. 2001-02 ..... 14
Figure 18: Changes in Health Insurance Coverage, Children vs. Adults, 2001-2002 ..... 14
Figure 19: Changes in Children's Health Insurance Coverage by Poverty Levels, 2001-2002 ..... 15
Figure 20: Changes in Adults' Health Insurance Coverage by Poverty Levels, 2001-2002 ..... 15
Figure 21: Growth in Nonelderly Uninsured between 2001 and 2002, by Socioeconomic Characteristics ..... 16
Figure 22: Changes in Adults' Health Insurance Coverage by Gender and Parent Status, 2001-2002 ..... 16
Health Insurance Coverage Among Workers
Figure 23: Changes in Workers' Health Insurance Coverage, 2001-2002 ..... 17
Figure 24: Changes in Workers' Health Insurance Coverage by Poverty Level, 2001-2002 ..... 17
Figure 25: Uninsured Workers by Poverty Level, 2002 ..... 18
Figure 26: Changes in Employer-Sponsored Coverage and Uninsured Rates among Workers, by Firm Size, 2001-2002 ..... 18
Figure 27: Uninsured Workers by Business Size, 2002 ..... 19
Figure 28: Employer-Sponsored Insurance Rates Among Full-Time/Full-Year Workers by Poverty Levels and Firm Size, 2002 ..... 19
Figure 29: Uninsured vs. Total Workers by Occupation, 2002 ..... 20
Figure 30: Uninsured Rates Among Industry Groups, White vs. Blue Collar Jobs, 2002 ..... 20
National Tables: Health Insurance Coverage of the Nonelderly Population, 2002
Table 1: Health Insurance Coverage of the Nonelderly, 2002 ..... 22
Table 2: Health Insurance Coverage of Children, 2002 ..... 23
Table 3: Health Insurance Converage of Nonelderly Adults, 2002 ..... 24
Table 4: Health Insurance Coverage of the Low-Income Nonelderly, 2002 ..... 25
Table 5: Health Insurance Coverage of Low-Income Children, 2002 ..... 26
Table 6: Health Insurance Coverage of Low-Income Nonelderly Adults, 2002 ..... 27
Table 7: Health Insurance Coverage of Workers, 2002 ..... 28
National Tables: Profile of the Nonelderly Uninsured Population, 2002
Table 8: Characteristics of the Nonelderly Uninsured, 2002 ..... 30
Table 9: Characteristics of Uninsured Children, 2002 ..... 31
Table 10: Characteristics of Uninsured Nonelderly Adults, 2002 ..... 32
Table 11: Characteristics of the Low-Income Nonelderly Uninsured, 2002. ..... 33
Table 12: Characteristics of Uninsured Workers, 2002 ..... 34
State Tables: Health Insurance Coverage of the Nonelderly Population, 2001-2002
Table 13: Health Insurance Coverage of the Nonelderly by State, 2001-2002 ..... 36
Table 14: Health Insurance Coverage of Children by State, 2001-2002 ..... 37
Table 15: Health Insurance Coverage of Nonelderly Adults by State, 2001-2002 ..... 38
Table 16: Health Insurance Coverage of the Low-Income Nonelderly by State, 2001-2002 ..... 39
Table 17: Health Insurance Coverage of Low-Income Children by State, 2001-2002. ..... 40
Table 18: Health Insurance Coverage of Low-Income Nonelderly Adults by State, 2001-2002 ..... 41
Table 19: Uninsured Rates Among Children by Poverty Level and State, 2001-2002 ..... 42
Table 20: Uninsured Rates Among Nonelderly Adults by Poverty Level and State, 2001-2002 ..... 43
Table Endnotes ..... 44
Data Notes ..... 45

## 2002 Data Update Highlights

Health Insurance Coverage in America, 2002 Data Update provides detailed information about health insurance coverage in the U.S. for 2002 - primarily in the form of descriptive tables. A set of figures preface the tables, examining changes in health coverage between 2001 and 2002, as well as highlighting who the uninsured are. The report contains the most current information available (for calendar year 2002) based on analysis of the Census Bureau's March 2003 Supplement (the Annual Social and Economic Supplement).
Detailed national tables examine health insurance coverage and describe the uninsured by key social and economic determinants:

\author{

- Age <br> - Gender <br> - Family income and poverty levels <br> - Household type <br> - Family work status <br> - Race/ethnicity <br> - Education <br> - Citizenship <br> - Health status
}

Separate tables are provided for children, adults, and low-income populations. Two national tables describe health insurance coverage among workers (age 18-64) and examine uninsured workers by these additional factors:

- Work status
- Business size
- Occupation
- Industry

State-level tables (based on two-year averages of 2001 and 2002 data) provide the health insurance distributions for each state's total nonelderly population, as well as children, adults, and the low-income population.

## About the Health Insurance Coverage Estimates:

The data in this report are based on an analysis of the Census Bureau's March Current Population Survey (CPS), the primary source of annual health insurance coverage information in the United States. Since the survey began asking questions about health insurance in 1980, its design has been changed a number of times so that better estimates of the number of people with health coverage could be obtained. While improving the accuracy of current data, these changes make it more difficult to study changes in health coverage over time.

A significant change was adopted when new questions were added in March 2001. (Refer to the Data Notes section for more detail). All the numbers provided in this Data Update are based on estimates using the revised questionnaire, using the expanded sample dataset of course, and are weighted to Census 2000, except for Figure 1 where the method differences are noted to allow for some comparison over a longer period of time.

## Highlights

## How Did Health Insurance Coverage Change in 2002?

Between 2001 and 2002 the number of uninsured under the age of 65 increased by 2.4 million Americans, for a total of 43.3 million nonelderly uninsured. This growth is the largest real increase in the number of uninsured since 1987 - the historical benchmark provided by the Census Bureau.

The number of uninsured has increased by nearly $10 \%$ since 2000, yielding a two-year increase of 3.7 million more uninsured Americans. The share of the nonelderly population without health insurance notched up by more than a full percentage point since 2000 and is now at $17.3 \%$.

Throughout most of the 1990s, the number of uninsured had been increasing by about one million each year, despite a healthy and growing economy. Small, but gradual increases in job-based insurance over this time yielded a brief hiatus at the end of the economic boom years, with modest decreases in the number of uninsured in 1999 and 2000. At the same time, public coverage for children began to expand substantially with the implementation of the State Children's Health Insurance Program (SCHIP) and improved efforts to reach out to children who qualify for either S-CHIP or the Medicaid program.

The number of uninsured increased once again however, with the economic downturn in 2001. In 2002 the effect of the downturn persisted and, for the second year in a row, the reason more Americans lost coverage was due to decreased coverage through employer-sponsored health insurance.

Adults accounted for nearly all of the growth in the number of uninsured in 2001 and 2002. Public coverage through Medicaid and S-CHIP increased both years, with children largely benefiting. Because of growth in public coverage, the proportion of children who were uninsured remained steady in the face of a significant drop in their private coverage. In contrast, the share of adults without health insurance increased significantly due to a larger drop in employer-sponsored coverage and less of an increase in public coverage compared to children.

The downturn in the economy continued to affect family incomes in 2002, shifting more of the population to lower income levels - where uninsured rates are the highest. One third of the nonelderly population have family incomes less than $200 \%$ of the poverty level; another $30 \%$ have middle incomes of between $200 \%$ and $400 \%$ of poverty (about $\$ 29,000$ to $\$ 57,000$ for a family of three).

The growth in the number of uninsured reflects both these broader shifts in the nation's income distribution and the fact that those from low and middle-income families experienced the largest decreases in employer-based coverage. Consequently, $90 \%$ of the growth in the number of uninsured in 2002 occurred among low and middle-income Americans.

## Profile of the Uninsured

Who Is At Risk of Being Uninsured?

While the number and share of Americans who are uninsured has changed substantially in the past two years, who the uninsured are and the social and economic factors putting a person at risk of losing health insurance coverage have not changed much over time.

Nearly two-thirds (64\%) of the uninsured have low family incomes.
Those from low-income families are the most likely to be uninsured. Over a third of the poor (with incomes less than $100 \%$ of poverty) and more than a quarter of the near-poor (with incomes between $100 \%$ and $200 \%$ of poverty) have no health insurance - in contrast to $6 \%$ of those with high family incomes ( $400 \%$ or more of the poverty level).

Adults make up about $70 \%$ of the nonelderly population, but nearly $80 \%$ of the uninsured.
Adults are more likely to be uninsured than children because public coverage is designed primarily to help low-income children. Twenty percent of nonelderly adults vs. $12 \%$ of children are uninsured.

## Low-income adults without dependent children make up one-third of all the uninsured.

Medicaid's safety net is very limited for adults. Because only low-income adults who are parents, or are pregnant or severely disabled are eligible, most adults who have no dependent children do not qualify regardless of how poor they are. So among low-income adults, childless adults and men have the highest uninsured risk.

## Minorities now make up a third of the nonelderly population and comprise a little over half of the

 uninsured.Racial and ethnic minorities are at greater risk of being uninsured, in part because they are more likely to be from low-income families. However, income differences do not account for all of the insurance disparity. Hispanics, with $34 \%$ uninsured, are the most likely to lack health insurance.

Because the large majority of adults are in the workforce, over $80 \%$ of the uninsured come from working families and about 70\% of the uninsured have at least one full-time worker in their family.

Not having a connection to the workforce raises the chance of being uninsured markedly. Having two full-time workers in a family lowers the risk of being uninsured to less than $10 \%$.

Over half of uninsured workers (56\%) worked full-time for the full-year in 2002.
Twenty-six million workers are uninsured, representing $18 \%$ of all workers. Part-time and temporary workers often do not qualify for employer's health benefits. Still $15 \%$ of full-time, fullyear workers are uninsured.
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Roughly $40 \%$ of all workers are employed in small firms or are self-employed, but over $60 \%$ of uninsured workers are employed in these settings.

Workers who are employed in small businesses (<100) or are self-employed run the highest risk of being uninsured - about $27 \%$ are uninsured.

Low-income workers make up $55 \%$ of all workers without health insurance.
Low-income workers are over three times more likely to be uninsured compared to those with incomes above $200 \%$ of the poverty level ( $42 \%$ vs. $11 \%$ ).

Blue-collar workers, who make up $63 \%$ of the workforce in America, comprise $81 \%$ of uninsured workers.

Health coverage varies by industry and by occupation. Uninsured rates by industry run as high as $33 \%$ in agriculture and construction to just $6 \%$ in public administration. The gap in coverage is over two-fold between white- and blue-collar workers, $9 \%$ vs. $23 \%$ uninsured respectively.

Figure 1

*Revised method estimates for 1999 are comparable to later years, except they are based on a smaller sample.
KCMU / Urban Institute 2003

Figure 2
Health Insurance Coverage, 2001-2002


[^0]The number of nonelderly uninsured Americans increased again in 2002 - by 2.4 million. This growth represents the largest real increase in the number of uninsured since 1987 - the historical benchmark provided by the Census Bureau. Over 43 million Americans under age 65 were without health insurance in 2002.

For the second year in a row, the reason more Americans lost coverage was due to decreased employer-sponsored health insurance - a 1.4 percentage point drop. The share of the nonelderly covered by Medicaid and other public programs rose again, but was not enough to offset the decline in the proportion with job-based health coverage. The share of the nonelderly population who were uninsured grew in 2002 by 0.8 percentage points - a larger increase than the year before.

Figure 3
The Nonelderly Uninsured by Poverty Level, 2002


Total $=$ 43.3 Million Uninsured

The federal poverty level was defined as $\$ 14,348$ for a family of 3 in 2002.
KCMU / Urban Institute 2003

Figure 4
Health Insurance Coverage of the Nonelderly by Poverty Level, 2002


Medicaid also includes S-CHIP, other state programs, Medicare and military-related coverage. KCMU / Urban Institute 2003

About one-third of nonelderly Americans come from low-income families (less than 200\% of the poverty level or about $\$ 29,000$ for a family of three in 2002), however they comprise almost two-thirds of the uninsured. Over a third of the uninsured are poor with family incomes less than the poverty level. Those with the highest family incomes ( $400 \%+$ of the poverty level or about $\$ 57,000$ for a family of three) make up about a third of the nonelderly, but just $12 \%$ of the uninsured.

The likelihood of employersponsored coverage rises with income. The majority of lowincome people do not have employer-sponsored insurance, mostly because it is not available to them or they cannot afford their share of the premium's cost. Among the poor, only $15 \%$ have job-based coverage and Medicaid covers $41 \%$, leaving over a third uninsured. Because the near-poor are less likely to qualify for public insurance and also have decreased access to employersponsored insurance, over a quarter of this group is uninsured.

Nonelderly Uninsured by Age Groups, 2002


Total $=43.3$ Million Uninsured

KCMU / Urban Institute 2003

Figure 6

Uninsured Rates By Age and Income Groups, 2002


Adults make up about $70 \%$ of the population under age 65 , but nearly $80 \%$ of the uninsured. Adults age 19 to 34 , who comprise just a quarter of the nonelderly, represent $40 \%$ of the uninsured. Their chances of being uninsured are high because they are more likely to have low incomes and to be single.

Age group differences persist at both lower and higher income levels. Regardless of income, adults age 19 to 34 run the greatest risk of not having health insurance. Over 40\% of low-income adults in this age range were uninsured in 2002.
$200 \%$ of poverty level = \$28,696 for family of 3 in 2002.
KCMU / Urban Institute 2003

Figure 7
The Nonelderly Uninsured, by Age and Income Groups, 2002


Total $=$ 43.3 Million Uninsured
*Parents of children in the household under age 19. Other adults include childless adults and parents of children who are no longer dependents. Low-income includes those with family incomes less than $200 \%$ of the poverty level ( $\$ 28,696$ for a family of 3 in 2002). KCMU / Urban Institute 2003

Among the two-thirds of the uninsured that come from lowincome families (shaded darker here), half are children and parents and half are adults without children or whose children are no longer dependent on them. Nearly a third (32\%) of the uninsured are low-income adults without children - the majority of whom are single adults.

Figure 8
Health Insurance Coverage of Children and Nonelderly Adults, 2002


Medicaid and S-CHIP are essential sources of coverage for the $42 \%$ of children in this country who come from low-income families. Almost half of lowincome children are covered by Medicaid or other state programs. Low-income adults without children have less job-based coverage than low-income parents, partly because they do not have access to health benefits as a dependent of another worker. Unlike some poor parents, they also do not qualify for Medicaid unless they are pregnant or disabled, regardless of how poor they are - leaving $42 \%$ without health coverage.

Figure 9

Nonelderly Uninsured by Family Work Status, 2002


FT = Full-Time; PT = Part-Time
KCMU / Urban Institute 2003

The large majority of adults are in the workforce, therefore about $80 \%$ of the nonelderly uninsured (including children) come from working families and $70 \%$ have at least one fulltime worker in their family. Not having any family members who work outside the home, or only a part-time worker in the family, raises the risk of being uninsured markedly relative to families with at least one full-time worker.

Figure 10
Health Insurance Coverage, by Family Poverty Level and Work Status, 2002


Medicaid also includes S-CHIP, other state programs, Medicare and military-related coverage. Less than $200 \%$ of poverty level = \$28,696 for family of 3 in 2002.
KCMU / Urban Institute 2003

Figure 11
Health Status Within Health Insurance Groups, 2002


Medicaid also includes S-CHIP, Medicare and military-related coverage. Data may not total $100 \%$ due to rounding.
KCMU / Urban Institute 2003

The uninsured are more likely than those with private health coverage to be in fair or poor health. If the uninsured do not have jobbased group coverage, their health problems often make private non-group coverage unavailable or unaffordable. Because Medicaid is the insurance safety net for lowincome persons with chronic and disabling conditions, the Medicaid population is the least healthy, with one in five being in fair or poor health.

Figure 12
Health Insurance Coverage of Children and Adults, by Health Status, 2002


Medicaid also includes S-CHIP, other state programs, Medicare and military-related coverage. Data may not total $100 \%$ due to rounding.
KCMU / Urban Institute 2003

Figure 13
Uninsured vs. Total Nonelderly Population, by Race/Ethnicity, 2002


KCMU / Urban Institute 2003

Figure 14
Uninsured Rates Among Racial/Ethnic and Income Groups, 2002


[^1]KCMU / Urban Institute 2003

Racial and ethnic minorities, who now make up a third of the nonelderly population, comprise a little over half of the uninsured - in part because they are more likely to be in low-income families. About $50 \%$ - $60 \%$ of Blacks, Hispanics, and American Indians, compared to a quarter of Whites, come from families living under $200 \%$ of the poverty level.
$\mathbf{W h i l e}^{\text {hie being from a low- }}$ income family raises the risk of being uninsured markedly, it does not account for all of the differences in health coverage across racial and ethnic groups. Insurance disparities persist for most groups at both lower and higher income levels. Uninsured rates are the highest among low-income Hispanics, Asians, and American Indians.

The Nonelderly Uninsured by Citizenship, 2002


Total $=43.3$ Million Uninsured

KCMU / Urban Institute 2003

Uninsured Rates Among the Nonelderly by State, 2001-2002


Nearly $80 \%$ of the uninsured are native or naturalized citizens. While persons who are not American citizens are at greatest risk of being uninsured (45\% are uninsured), their numbers are relatively small. Non-citizens who have lived in the U.S. for less than six years make up only $3 \%$ of the nonelderly population and comprise $9 \%$ of the uninsured

Figure 17
Changes in the Income Distribution, 2000-01 vs. 2001-02

*Income distribution changed significantly ( $\mathrm{p}<.10$ ).
KCMU / Urban Institute 2003

The downturn in the economy beginning in 2001, lowered family incomes. While the population grew by 2.4 million, over 3 million moved into the low-income group that year. Between 2001 and 2002, the shift was blunted, but still the largest share of the population growth occurred in the lowincome group - where uninsured rates are the highest. Low-income is defined here as having a family income less than 200\% of the federal poverty level which for example, would be less than $\$ 28,696$ for a family of three in 2002.

Figure 18
Changes in Health Insurance Coverage Rates, Children
vs. Adults, 2001-2002
(Percentage Point Differences)

*Statistically significant change between 2001 and 2002 ( $p<10$ ). Medicaid also includes S-CHIP, other state programs, Medicare and military-related coverage. KCMU / Urban Institute 2003

Adults accounted for nearly all of the growth in the number of uninsured again in 2002. Children and adults experienced a substantial drop in the share covered by employer-sponsored insurance. Medicaid and S-CHIP coverage increased, particularly among children, as family incomes declined which kept children's uninsured rate unchanged for the second year in a row. In contrast, the share of adults without insurance increased significantly due to a larger decrease in job-based coverage and less of an increase in Medicaid coverage compared to children.

Figure 19
Changes in Children's Health Insurance Coverage
Rates by Poverty Levels, 2001-2002
(Percentage Point Differences)


* Statistically significant change between 2001 and 2002 ( $p<.10$ ).

Medicaid also includes S-CHIP, other state programs, Medicare and military-related programs. KCMU / Urban Institute 2003

Figure 20
Changes in Adults' Health Insurance Coverage Rates by Poverty Levels, 2001-2002
(Percentage Point Differences)

*Statistically significant change between 2001 and 2002 ( $p<10$ ).
Medicaid also includes S-CHIP, other state programs, Medicare and military-related coverage.
KCMU / Urban Institute 2003

Efforts to enroll and extend coverage to more children in Medicaid and S-CHIP effectively decreased the number of uninsured children between 1999 and 2000, at a time when the share of children with job-based coverage stayed fairly flat. Since then, the number of children covered by public programs has continued to grow and has fully buffered the loss of employer coverage for those from poor and near-poor families. Uninsured rates across all income groups of children did not change significantly between 2001 and 2002.

The share of adults with jobbased coverage fell in 2002 and middle-income adults suffered the largest decrease. While Medicaid and state programs cover some lowincome parents, childless adults do not qualify for Medicaid unless they are pregnant or disabled. This leaves adults at much greater risk of being left uninsured as employers' health benefits decrease. Uninsured rates increased significantly last year for adults with middle incomes (between \$28,696 and $\$ 57,392$ for a family of three in 2002).

Figure 21
Growth in Nonelderly Uninsured between 2001 and 2002, by Socioeconomic Characteristics


Total $=\mathbf{2 . 4}$ Million
Data may not total $100 \%$ due to rounding. KCMU / Urban Institute 2003


Total $=$ 2.4 Million

Nearly all of the 2.4 million increase in the number of uninsured occurred among adults. Adults age 19-44, who made up only $13 \%$ of the nonelderly population growth, comprised $75 \%$ of the growth in the uninsured in 2002. And while incomes shifted so that two-thirds of the nonelderly population growth had low or middle incomes, they represented $90 \%$ of the growth in the uninsured.

Figure 22
Changes in Adults' Health Insurance Coverage Rates by Gender and Parent Status, 2001-2002
(Percentage Point Differences)
$\square$ Employer ■Medicaid $\square$ Uninsured

*Statistically significant change between 2001 and 2002 (p<.10).
Medicaid also includes S-CHIP, other state programs, Medicare and military-related coverage. KCMU / Urban Institute 2003
ninsured rates increased for both men and women, parents and non-parents, as the share with job-based insurance declined. The increase would have been much greater among parents were it not for their significant increase in Medicaid coverage. Medicaid covers only adults under age 65 who are pregnant, parents of dependent children, or disabled. Because of this, women and parents are less likely to be uninsured compared to men and adults without dependent children.

*Statistically significant change between 2001 and 2002 ( p <.10).
Medicaid also includes S-CHIP, other state programs, Medicare and military-related coverage. KCMU / Urban Institute 2003

Figure 24
Changes in Workers' Health Insurance Coverage
Rates by Poverty Levels, 2001-2002
(Percentage Point Differences)
$\square$ Employer ■Medicaid $\square$ Uninsured

*Statistically significant change between 2001 and 2002 (p<.10). Medicaid also includes S-CHIP, other state programs, Medicare and military-related coverage.
KCMU / Urban Institute 2003

More workers lost employersponsored health insurance last year. Medicaid coverage increased somewhat but rates of private non-group coverage among workers stayed the same. Consequently, the share of the nonelderly working population who were uninsured grew by a full percentage point in 2002, adding almost 1.5 million workers to the number of uninsured.

W orkers across all of these income groups experienced a substantial decrease in the share with employer-based coverage. However, only among middle-income workers did this change yield a significant increase in their uninsured rate. Over two-thirds of the growth in uninsured workers - one million workers came from the middle-income group with incomes between 200-399\% of the poverty level (about $\$ 29,000$ to $\$ 57,000$ for a family of three in 2002).

## Uninsured Workers by Poverty Level, 2002



## Total = 25.7 Million Uninsured Workers

KCMU / Urban Institute 2003

## Figure 26

Changes in Employer-Sponsored Coverage and Uninsured Rates among Workers, by Firm Size, 2001-2002
(Percentage Point Differences)
$\square$ Employer $\square$ Uninsured

*Statistically significant change between 2001 and 2002 ( $\mathrm{p}<.10$ ).
KCMU / Urban Institute 2003

About a quarter of all workers come from low-income families but they represent over half of all uninsured workers. In contrast, high-income workers make up $45 \%$ of the working population, but just $15 \%$ of workers who are uninsured.

Alarge shift in health insurance coverage among workers occurred among the self-employed, whose employer-based coverage rates dropped by three percentage points, raising their uninsured rates by 2.5 percentage points. One third of the growth in uninsured workers occurred among the self-employed, in part reflecting the movement of workers from firm-based positions to selfemployment. Almost 500,000 workers left jobs with firms between 2001 and 2002 (mostly from firms of 500 or more workers) and 900,000 moved into self-employment.

Uninsured Workers by Business Size, 2002


Total $=$ 25.7 Million Uninsured Workers

Data do not total $100 \%$ due to rounding KCMU / Urban Institute 2003

The chances of being uninsured are highest among workers who are either employed in small firms or are self-employed. Over 30\% of workers in firms with less than 25 employees have no health coverage. While $30 \%$ of all workers are either self-employed or work in a small firm with less than 25 employees, they make up nearly half of uninsured workers.

Regardless of firm size, the chances of having job-based coverage are less for those with lower incomes, even among those who are employed full-time for the full-year. The combination of a low income and working in a small business lowers the chances of having employersponsored insurance substantially. Less than $30 \%$ of low-income, full-time workers in the smallest firms have job-based coverage. Just $57 \%$ of low-wage workers in larger businesses, where health benefits are almost always offered, have coverage through their own employer.

Sums may not equal totals due to rounding.
KCMU / Urban Institute 2003

Figure 29

Uninsured vs. Total Workers by Occupation, 2002


White collar workers include all professionals and managers; examples of blue collar workers include assemblers, clerical, technician, service, labor and sales workers. KCMU / Urban Institute 2003

## Uninsured Rates Among Industry Groups, White vs. Blue Collar Jobs, 2002



White collar workers include all professionals and managers; all other workers classified as blue collar. KCMU / Urban Institute 2003

Blue collar workers make up the majority of all workers in America, but they constitute an even larger share of uninsured workers ( $81 \%$ ). The primary cause of their higher uninsured rates is that they are less likely to be offered insurance as a benefit and when it is available to them, they are less able to afford their required share of the costs.

Health coverage varies by industry, ranging from uninsured rates as high as $33 \%$ in agriculture and construction to just $6 \%$ in public administration. However, even in industries where health coverage is better than average, large differences in coverage exist between white and blue collar workers. Workers in blue collar jobs (for example, assemblers, clerical workers, salespersons) are much more likely to be uninsured compared to professionals and managers in the same industry. In the industries shown here, representing almost two-thirds of all jobs, the gap in coverage between white and blue collar workers is nearly two-fold on average.

## 2002 Data Update Tables

Table 1
Health Insurance Coverage of the Nonelderly, 2002

|  | Nonelderly$\qquad$ | Percent Distribution by Coverage Type |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Private |  | Public |  | Uninsured |
|  |  | Employer | Individual | Medicaid | Other ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| Total - Nonelderly ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 250.8 | 63.3\% | 5.3\% | 11.9\% | 2.2\% | 17.3\% |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children - Total | 77.3 | 59.0\% | 4.1\% | 23.5\% | 1.5\% | 12.0\% |
| Adults - Total | 173.6 | 65.3\% | 5.8\% | 6.8\% | 2.6\% | 19.6\% |
| Adults 19-24 | 23.3 | 46.1\% | 11.1\% | 9.9\% | 1.4\% | 31.6\% |
| Adults 25-34 | 38.9 | 62.4\% | 4.0\% | 7.2\% | 1.3\% | 25.1\% |
| Adults 35-44 | 43.8 | 70.1\% | 4.5\% | 6.2\% | 1.5\% | 17.8\% |
| Adults 45-54 | 40.2 | 72.9\% | 4.9\% | 5.5\% | 2.8\% | 13.9\% |
| Adults 55-64 | 27.4 | 66.8\% | 7.2\% | 6.5\% | 6.7\% | 12.9\% |
| Annual Family Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$20,000-\$39,999 <br>  <br> Family Poverty Level ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ( | 61.3 | 21.7\% | 7.2\% | 30.7\% | 4.1\% | 36.3\% |
|  | 56.5 | 57.3\% | 5.2\% | 13.2\% | 2.4\% | 21.9\% |
|  | 133.0 | 85.1\% | 4.4\% | 2.8\% | 1.3\% | 6.5\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <100\% | 42.2 | 15.3\% | 6.6\% | 38.0\% | 3.5\% | 36.7\% |
| 100-199\% | 43.5 | 41.9\% | 5.9\% | 20.1\% | 3.7\% | 28.3\% |
| ...100-149\% | 22.0 | 33.1\% | 6.3\% | 25.1\% | 4.5\% | 31.2\% |
| ...150-199\% | 21.5 | 51.1\% | 5.6\% | 15.1\% | 2.9\% | 25.4\% |
| 200-399\% | 74.1 | 73.4\% | 5.2\% | 5.4\% | 2.0\% | 14.1\% |
| 400\%+ | 91.0 | 87.6\% | 4.4\% | 1.3\% | 1.1\% | 5.6\% |
| Household Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Single Adults Living Alone | 18.3 | 61.1\% | 8.6\% | 9.9\% | 4.3\% | 16.2\% |
| Single Adults Living Together | 27.6 | 46.3\% | 8.9\% | 8.2\% | 2.6\% | 33.9\% |
| Married Adults | 50.8 | 72.2\% | 5.4\% | 3.2\% | 3.4\% | 15.7\% |
| 1 Parent with children ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 30.7 | 40.8\% | 4.6\% | 34.1\% | 1.3\% | 19.3\% |
| 2 Parents with children ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 111.1 | 72.8\% | 4.1\% | 9.7\% | 1.5\% | 12.0\% |
| Multigenerational/Other with children ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 12.4 | 38.8\% | 3.5\% | 24.7\% | 2.5\% | 30.5\% |
| Family Work Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Full-time1 Full-timeOnly Part-time ${ }^{\text {f }}$Non-Workers | $71.2$ | 84.1\% | $2.9 \%$ | 3.7\% | 1.0\% | 8.3\% |
|  | 135.4 | 65.8\% | 5.2\% | 9.8\% | 1.4\% | $17.8 \%$ |
|  | 17.1 | 31.3\% | 12.6\% | 22.3\% | 3.0\% | 30.8\% |
|  | 27.1 | 16.7\% | 7.0\% | 37.5\% | 9.0\% | 29.6\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 165.8 | 71.3\% | 6.2\% | 7.9\% | 2.2\% | 12.5\% |
| Black only (non-Hispanic) | 31.6 | 49.5\% | 2.6\% | 23.3\% | 3.0\% | 21.6\% |
| Hispanic | 37.2 | 41.7\% | 2.8\% | 19.9\% | 1.6\% | 34.1\% |
| Asian/S. Pacific Islander only | 11.0 | 61.2\% | 8.2\% | 9.0\% | 1.6\% | 20.0\% |
| Am. Indian/Aleut.Eskimo only | 1.5 | 41.4\% | 2.3\% | 23.6\% | 4.2\% | 28.5\% |
| Two or More Races ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 3.7 | 57.9\% | 4.0\% | 20.6\% | 3.7\% | 13.8\% |
| Citizenship |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 221.1 | 65.4\% | 5.3\% | 12.3\% | 2.3\% | 14.6\% |
| U.S. citizen - naturalized | 10.3 | 62.9\% | 6.0\% | 7.2\% | 2.2\% | 21.7\% |
| Non-U.S. citizen, resident for < 6 years | 7.2 | 32.8\% | 5.0\% | 10.1\% | 0.8\% | 51.3\% |
| Non-U.S. citizen, resident for 6+ years | 12.3 | 43.8\% | 3.8\% | 9.6\% | 1.0\% | 41.9\% |
| Health Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excellent/Very Good | 176.1 | 68.2\% | 5.7\% | 9.5\% | 1.3\% | 15.2\% |
| Good | 54.9 | 55.9\% | 4.4\% | 14.3\% | 2.5\% | 22.9\% |
| Fair/Poor | 19.8 | 40.3\% | 3.3\% | 27.5\% | 9.3\% | 19.5\% |

Table 2
Health Insurance Coverage of Children, 2002

|  | Children <br> (millions) | Percent Distribution by Coverage Type |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Private |  | Public |  | Uninsured |
|  |  | Employer | Individual | Medicaid | Other ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| Total - Children ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 77.3 | 59.0\% | 4.1\% | 23.5\% | 1.5\% | 12.0\% |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <1 | 3.4 | 52.0\% | 2.2\% | 30.6\% | 1.8\% | 13.5\% |
| 1-5 | 20.3 | 56.2\% | 2.8\% | 28.8\% | 1.6\% | 10.5\% |
| 6-18 | 53.6 | 60.4\% | 4.7\% | 21.0\% | 1.4\% | 12.5\% |
| Annual Family Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <\$20,000 | 18.7 | 15.6\% | 3.4\% | 55.2\% | 1.7\% | 24.1\% |
| \$20,000-\$39,999 | 16.6 | 46.0\% | 4.0\% | 31.7\% | 1.8\% | 16.4\% |
| \$40,000 + | 42.0 | 83.3\% | 4.4\% | 6.1\% | 1.2\% | 4.9\% |
| Family Poverty Level ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <100\% | 16.7 | 14.0\% | 3.3\% | 56.3\% | 1.8\% | 24.6\% |
| 100-199\% | 15.6 | 41.2\% | 3.6\% | 36.1\% | 2.0\% | 17.1\% |
| ...100-149\% | 8.2 | 32.0\% | 3.6\% | 43.0\% | 2.1\% | 19.4\% |
| ...150-199\% | 7.4 | 51.3\% | 3.6\% | 28.7\% | 1.9\% | 14.5\% |
| 200-399\% | 23.2 | 75.5\% | 4.5\% | 10.7\% | 1.4\% | 7.9\% |
| 400\%+ | 21.8 | 88.4\% | 4.7\% | 2.8\% | 0.9\% | 3.2\% |
| Household Type ${ }^{\text {i }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Parent with children ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 18.4 | 38.0\% | 4.0\% | 42.9\% | 1.0\% | 14.1\% |
| 2 Parents with children ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 52.8 | 70.1\% | 4.0\% | 15.1\% | 1.6\% | 9.2\% |
| Multigenerational/Other with children ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 5.4 | 26.9\% | 3.0\% | 39.6\% | 1.6\% | 28.9\% |
| Family Work Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Full-time | 22.2 | 80.2\% | 2.7\% | 9.0\% | 1.2\% | 6.8\% |
| 1 Full-time | 42.3 | 60.6\% | 4.7\% | 22.0\% | 1.3\% | 11.4\% |
| Only Part-time ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 4.4 | 23.4\% | 7.0\% | 49.6\% | 1.6\% | 18.4\% |
| Non-Workers | 8.3 | 13.0\% | 3.4\% | 55.4\% | 2.6\% | 25.7\% |
| Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White only (non-Hispanic) | 46.4 | 70.3\% | 5.1\% | 15.2\% | 1.3\% | 8.1\% |
| Black only (non-Hispanic) | 11.5 | 41.8\% | 1.9\% | 40.2\% | 1.7\% | 14.5\% |
| Hispanic | 14.0 | 36.5\% | 2.1\% | 36.7\% | 1.4\% | 23.3\% |
| Asian/S. Pacific Islander only | 3.0 | 60.8\% | 6.8\% | 18.1\% | 1.6\% | 12.7\% |
| Am. Indian/Aleut.Eskimo only | 0.5 | (34.6\%) | 1.4\% | ------ | 3.8\% | (20.2\%) |
| Two or More Races ${ }^{9}$ | 1.9 | 55.5\% | 3.5\% | 29.9\% | 3.0\% | 8.1\% |
| Citizenship |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. citizen | 74.5 | 60.0\% | 4.1\% | 23.4\% | 1.5\% | 10.9\% |
| Non-U.S. citizen, resident for < 6 years | 1.6 | 27.1\% | 3.2\% | 22.9\% | 1.2\% | 45.5\% |
| Non-U.S. citizen, resident for 6+ years | 1.1 | (34.6\%) | 2.9\% | 25.1\% | 0.1\% | (37.3\%) |
| Health Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excellent/Very Good | 63.1 | 63.2\% | 4.4\% | 19.9\% | 1.4\% | 11.2\% |
| Good | 12.5 | 41.8\% | 3.0\% | 36.9\% | 1.6\% | 16.7\% |
| Fair/Poor | 1.7 | 29.0\% | 1.6\% | 57.1\% | 2.6\% | 9.8\% |

Table 3
Health Insurance Coverage of Nonelderly Adults, 2002
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Table 4
Health Insurance Coverage of the Low-Income Nonelderly, 2002
(Less than 200\% of Poverty)

( ) = Estimate has a large 95\% confidence interval of $+/-5.0-7.9$ percentage points.
THE KAISER COMMISSION ON
Medicaid and the Uninsured

Table 5
Health Insurance Coverage of Low-Income Children, 2002
(Less than 200\% of Poverty)

|  | Low-Income Children (millions) | Percent Distribution by Coverage Type |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Private |  | Public |  | Uninsured |
|  |  | Employer | Individual | Medicaid | Other ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| Total - Low-Income Children ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 32.3 | 27.2\% | 3.4\% | 46.6\% | 1.9\% | 21.0\% |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <1 | 1.6 | 22.3\% | 1.5\% | 55.1\% | 2.4\% | 18.7\% |
| 1-5 | 9.3 | 25.5\% | 1.9\% | 52.9\% | 2.4\% | 17.3\% |
| 6-18 | 21.3 | 28.3\% | 4.3\% | 43.1\% | 1.6\% | 22.8\% |
| Annual Family Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <\$20,000 | 18.7 | 15.6\% | 3.4\% | 55.3\% | 1.7\% | 24.1\% |
| \$20,000-\$39,999 | 12.2 | 41.0\% | 3.3\% | 36.2\% | 2.0\% | 17.5\% |
| \$40,000 + | 1.4 | 60.5\% | 5.6\% | 21.1\% | 3.0\% | 9.8\% |
| Family Poverty Level ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <100\% | 16.7 | 14.0\% | 3.3\% | 56.3\% | 1.8\% | 24.6\% |
| 100-199\% | 15.6 | 41.2\% | 3.6\% | 36.1\% | 2.0\% | 17.1\% |
| ...100-149\% | 8.2 | 32.0\% | 3.6\% | 43.0\% | 2.1\% | 19.4\% |
| ...150-199\% | 7.4 | 51.3\% | 3.6\% | 28.7\% | 1.9\% | 14.5\% |
| Household Type ${ }^{\text {i }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Parent with children ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 12.4 | 22.9\% | 2.6\% | 57.2\% | 1.0\% | 16.4\% |
| 2 Parents with children ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 14.6 | 33.3\% | 3.7\% | 39.6\% | 2.7\% | 20.8\% |
| Multigenerational/Other with children ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 4.6 | 20.3\% | 2.8\% | 43.8\% | 1.6\% | 31.4\% |
| Family Work Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Full-time | 2.9 | 40.3\% | 3.4\% | 34.5\% | 1.6\% | 20.2\% |
| 1 Full-time | 17.6 | 33.8\% | 3.3\% | 42.1\% | 1.7\% | 19.0\% |
| Only Part-time ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 3.7 | 17.2\% | 5.1\% | 56.2\% | 1.5\% | 20.0\% |
| Non-Workers | 8.1 | 12.6\% | 3.0\% | 56.1\% | 2.4\% | 25.9\% |
| Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White only (non-Hispanic) | 13.4 | 34.4\% | 5.3\% | 40.6\% | 2.1\% | 17.6\% |
| Black only (non-Hispanic) | 7.4 | 23.5\% | 1.9\% | 55.3\% | 1.5\% | 17.9\% |
| Hispanic | 9.3 | 20.1\% | 1.5\% | 48.2\% | 1.5\% | 28.6\% |
| Asian/S. Pacific Islander only | 1.1 | (28.0\%) | 8.2\% | (36.3\%) | 3.5\% | 24.1\% |
| Am. Indian/Aleut.Eskimo only | 0.4 | (17.3\%) | 2.1\% | ------ | 5.1\% | ------ |
| Two or More Races ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 0.8 | (23.8\%) | 2.8\% | (58.6\%) | 2.5\% | 12.2\% |
| Citizenship |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. citizen | 30.4 | 27.9\% | 3.5\% | 47.7\% | 1.9\% | 19.1\% |
| Non-U.S. citizen, resident for < 6 years | 1.2 | 14.2\% | 3.3\% | 25.8\% | 1.5\% | (55.2\%) |
| Non-U.S. citizen, resident for $6+$ years | 0.7 | (18.8\%) | 2.5\% | (32.9\%) | 0.2\% | (45.7\%) |
| Health Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excellent/Very Good | 23.7 | 30.0\% | 3.9\% | 43.2\% | 1.9\% | 21.0\% |
| Good | 7.4 | 20.4\% | 2.3\% | 53.3\% | 1.6\% | 22.3\% |
| Fair/Poor | 1.2 | 11.3\% | 1.3\% | 72.7\% | 2.3\% | 12.5\% |

$\overline{(\mathrm{O})=\text { Estimate has a large 95\% confidence interval of }+/-5.0-7.9 \text { percentage points. Estimates with larger margins of error are not provided }}$

Table 6
Health Insurance Coverage of Low-Income Nonelderly Adults, 2002 (Less than 200\% of Poverty)

| Total - Low-Income Nonelderly Adults ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Low-Income } \\ \text { Nonelderly } \\ \text { Adults } \\ \text { (millions) } \\ \hline 53.4 \end{gathered}$ | Percent Distribution by Coverage Type |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Private |  | Public |  | Uninsured |
|  |  | Employer | Individual | Medicaid | Other ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
|  |  | 29.8\% | 7.9\% | 18.2\% | 4.6\% | 39.4\% |
| Gender/Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adult Males Total | 24.7 | 29.7\% | 7.3\% | 13.8\% | 5.0\% | 44.2\% |
| M 19-34 | 12.6 | 29.5\% | 8.7\% | 9.7\% | 1.8\% | 50.4\% |
| M 35-54 | 9.3 | 29.7\% | 5.1\% | 18.1\% | 5.4\% | 41.7\% |
| M 55-64 | 2.9 | 30.5\% | 8.3\% | 17.9\% | 17.8\% | 25.4\% |
| Adult Females Total | 28.7 | 29.9\% | 8.5\% | 22.0\% | 4.3\% | 35.2\% |
| F 19-34 | 14.1 | 28.5\% | 9.4\% | 21.6\% | 2.1\% | 38.4\% |
| F 35-54 | 10.6 | 32.2\% | 6.6\% | 22.4\% | 4.2\% | 34.7\% |
| F 55-64 | 4.0 | 28.9\% | 10.6\% | 22.6\% | 12.7\% | 25.2\% |
| Annual Family Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <\$20,000 | 41.5 | 23.6\% | 8.9\% | 20.3\% | 5.3\% | 42.0\% |
| \$20,000-\$39,999 | 11.0 | 50.5\% | 4.7\% | 11.3\% | 2.5\% | 31.1\% |
| \$40,000 + | 0.8 | 67.6\% | 5.2\% | 7.4\% | 2.0\% | 17.8\% |
| Family Poverty Level ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <100\% | 25.5 | 16.1\% | 8.8\% | 25.9\% | 4.6\% | 44.6\% |
| 100-199\% | 27.9 | 42.4\% | 7.2\% | 11.2\% | 4.7\% | 34.6\% |
| ...100-149\% | 13.9 | 33.7\% | 7.8\% | 14.5\% | 5.9\% | 38.1\% |
| ...150-199\% | 14.1 | 50.9\% | 6.6\% | 7.9\% | 3.5\% | 31.2\% |
| $\underline{\text { Parent Status }{ }^{\text {d }}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M Parents | 7.1 | 40.7\% | 4.6\% | 13.5\% | 2.2\% | 39.1\% |
| M Non-Parents | 17.6 | 25.3\% | 8.4\% | 13.9\% | 6.1\% | 46.3\% |
| F Parents | 13.0 | 32.9\% | 4.9\% | 26.3\% | 2.4\% | 33.6\% |
| F Non-Parents | 15.7 | 27.5\% | 11.5\% | 18.5\% | 6.0\% | 36.5\% |
| Family Work Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Full-time | 3.1 | 47.7\% | 5.1\% | 8.8\% | 1.1\% | 37.4\% |
| 1 Full-time | 26.0 | 40.1\% | 6.4\% | 10.6\% | 1.7\% | 41.3\% |
| Only Part-time ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 8.5 | 23.9\% | 13.8\% | 16.7\% | 3.0\% | 42.6\% |
| Non-Workers | 15.8 | 12.7\% | 7.9\% | 33.4\% | 11.1\% | 34.8\% |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than high school | 14.4 | 20.1\% | 3.1\% | 24.4\% | 4.6\% | 47.8\% |
| High school graduate | 19.8 | 31.6\% | 5.6\% | 18.7\% | 4.8\% | 39.3\% |
| Some college/Assoc. degree | 13.8 | 33.9\% | 13.3\% | 14.6\% | 4.6\% | 33.7\% |
| College grad or greater | 5.5 | 38.6\% | 15.8\% | 9.4\% | 4.3\% | 32.0\% |
| Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White only (non-Hispanic) | 28.0 | 33.6\% | 10.9\% | 17.0\% | 5.7\% | 32.8\% |
| Black only (non-Hispanic) | 9.4 | 26.6\% | 4.0\% | 25.4\% | 5.5\% | 38.5\% |
| Hispanic | 12.3 | 24.5\% | 3.2\% | 15.8\% | 1.9\% | 54.6\% |
| Asian/S. Pacific Islander only | 2.4 | 27.4\% | 14.4\% | 14.0\% | 2.5\% | 41.7\% |
| Am. Indian/Aleut.Eskimo only | 0.5 | (22.0\%) | 3.8\% | (23.0\%) | 6.0\% | ---- |
| Two or More Races ${ }^{9}$ | 0.7 | (28.6\%) | 7.4\% | (24.4\%) | 4.8\% | (34.9\%) |
| Citizenship |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. citizen - native | 41.6 | 31.5\% | 8.7\% | 19.7\% | 5.5\% | 34.6\% |
| U.S. citizen - naturalized | 3.0 | 30.5\% | 7.4\% | 17.3\% | 3.7\% | 41.1\% |
| Non-U.S. citizen, resident for < 6 years | 3.2 | 16.1\% | 6.0\% | 9.0\% | 0.5\% | 68.3\% |
| Non-U.S. citizen, resident for $6+$ years | 5.6 | 24.9\% | 3.5\% | 13.3\% | 1.3\% | 57.0\% |
| Health Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excellent/Very Good | 28.2 | 35.1\% | 10.5\% | 11.2\% | 2.1\% | 41.0\% |
| Good | 15.4 | 28.4\% | 6.0\% | 17.1\% | 4.1\% | 44.4\% |
| Fair/Poor | 9.9 | 17.0\% | 3.5\% | 39.9\% | 12.7\% | 26.9\% |

[^3]Table 7
Health Insurance Coverage of Workers, 2002

|  | Workers (millions) | Percent Distribution by Coverage Type |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Private |  | Public |  | Uninsured |
|  |  | Employer | Individual | Medicaid | Other ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| Total - Workers ${ }^{\text {k }}$ | 142.1 | 71.6\% | 5.5\% | 3.7\% | 1.1\% | 18.1\% |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | 53.0 | 61.6\% | 6.4\% | 5.8\% | 0.9\% | 25.1\% |
| 35-54 | 70.8 | 77.4\% | 4.6\% | 2.6\% | 0.9\% | 14.5\% |
| 55-64 | 18.3 | 78.0\% | 6.7\% | 1.7\% | 2.1\% | 11.5\% |
| Worker's Annual Income ${ }^{\text {1 }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <\$20,000 | 46.5 | 49.9\% | 8.0\% | 8.3\% | 1.6\% | 32.2\% |
| \$20,000-\$39,999 | 47.9 | 76.4\% | 4.5\% | 2.2\% | 1.0\% | 15.9\% |
| \$40,000 + | 47.7 | 88.0\% | 4.2\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% | 6.4\% |
| Family Poverty Level ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <100\% | 11.9 | 21.5\% | 10.5\% | 17.5\% | 1.6\% | 48.9\% |
| 100-199\% | 21.8 | 45.4\% | 7.5\% | 8.0\% | 1.3\% | 37.8\% |
| 200-399\% | 44.9 | 73.9\% | 5.3\% | 2.3\% | 1.2\% | 17.3\% |
| 400\%+ | 63.5 | 88.4\% | 4.1\% | 0.6\% | 0.8\% | 6.0\% |
| Work Status ${ }^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full-time/Full-year | 97.6 | 78.4\% | 4.2\% | 1.8\% | 0.7\% | 14.8\% |
| Full-time/Part-year | 20.1 | 58.1\% | 5.8\% | 7.5\% | 1.7\% | 27.0\% |
| Part-time/Full-year | 12.5 | 58.4\% | 10.4\% | 5.9\% | 1.7\% | 23.7\% |
| Part-time/Part-year | 11.9 | 52.8\% | 10.9\% | 10.4\% | 2.5\% | 23.4\% |
| Business Size (\# Workers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-employed | 13.3 | 50.4\% | 19.1\% | 2.9\% | 1.3\% | 26.3\% |
| <25 | 28.8 | 54.5\% | 7.6\% | 5.3\% | 1.3\% | 31.2\% |
| 25-99 | 17.1 | 69.8\% | 4.0\% | 4.2\% | 1.3\% | 20.7\% |
| 100-499 | 16.8 | 78.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.5\% | 0.8\% | 14.6\% |
| 500-999 | 6.3 | 81.1\% | 3.7\% | 2.9\% | 0.6\% | 11.7\% |
| 1000+ | 39.3 | 80.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.5\% | 0.8\% | 12.6\% |
| Public Sector | 20.6 | 86.4\% | 2.7\% | 2.3\% | 1.3\% | 7.3\% |
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Table 7 (continued)
Health Insurance Coverage of Workers, 2002

|  | Workers (millions) | Percent Distribution by Coverage Type |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Private |  | Public |  | Uninsured |
|  |  | Employer | Individual | Medicaid | Other ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| Total - Workers ${ }^{\text {k }}$ | 142.1 | 71.6\% | 5.5\% | 3.7\% | 1.1\% | 18.1\% |
| Occupation/Industry |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PROFESSIONALS and MANAGERS: | 52.3 | 82.9\% | 5.4\% | 1.5\% | 0.8\% | 9.3\% |
| Agriculture | 0.9 | (51.0\%) | 22.3\% | 3.8\% | 2.4\% | 20.5\% |
| Construction | 2.4 | 72.8\% | 8.5\% | 1.5\% | 0.3\% | 16.7\% |
| Finance | 4.7 | 87.4\% | 4.7\% | 0.9\% | 0.6\% | 6.4\% |
| Health and Social Services | 6.9 | 85.0\% | 5.0\% | 1.9\% | 1.0\% | 7.1\% |
| Information/Communications/Education | 9.6 | 87.5\% | 3.9\% | 1.3\% | 0.8\% | 6.4\% |
| Mining/Manufacturing | 5.6 | 90.4\% | 2.7\% | 0.9\% | 0.6\% | 5.3\% |
| Professions | 7.2 | 80.6\% | 7.3\% | 1.3\% | 1.0\% | 9.8\% |
| Public Administration | 2.8 | 93.4\% | 1.2\% | 0.8\% | 1.0\% | 3.6\% |
| Services | 4.5 | 69.7\% | 8.1\% | 2.3\% | 1.1\% | 18.8\% |
| Utilities and Transportation | 1.4 | 89.3\% | 1.7\% | 1.1\% | 0.9\% | 6.9\% |
| Wholesale and Retail Trade | 6.2 | 78.1\% | 6.1\% | 2.0\% | 0.6\% | 13.2\% |
| OTHER OCCUPATIONS: ${ }^{\text {m }}$ | 89.7 | 65.0\% | 5.6\% | 5.0\% | 1.2\% | 23.2\% |
| Agriculture | 1.2 | 41.0\% | 5.9\% | 8.9\% | 1.5\% | 42.7\% |
| Construction | 8.4 | 52.3\% | 5.8\% | 3.2\% | 0.9\% | 37.8\% |
| Finance | 5.1 | 74.8\% | 7.9\% | 2.7\% | 0.7\% | 14.0\% |
| Health and Social Services | 9.9 | 70.5\% | 4.1\% | 7.2\% | 1.3\% | 16.8\% |
| Information/Communications/Education | 6.7 | 77.1\% | 5.6\% | 3.6\% | 0.9\% | 12.9\% |
| Mining/Manufacturing | 12.5 | 76.8\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% | 0.6\% | 17.0\% |
| Professions | 7.6 | 57.8\% | 6.0\% | 5.7\% | 1.5\% | 28.9\% |
| Public Administration | 3.2 | 87.2\% | 2.1\% | 2.7\% | 0.8\% | 7.2\% |
| Services | 14.6 | 47.1\% | 9.0\% | 7.6\% | 1.6\% | 34.6\% |
| Utilities and Transportation | 5.7 | 73.1\% | 3.6\% | 2.9\% | 1.3\% | 19.1\% |
| Wholesale and Retail Trade | 14.8 | 65.1\% | 6.3\% | 5.6\% | 1.5\% | 21.5\% |
| Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White only (non-Hispanic) | 100.5 | 76.7\% | 6.3\% | 2.7\% | 1.1\% | 13.2\% |
| Black only (non-Hispanic) | 15.3 | 64.6\% | 2.8\% | 7.3\% | 1.5\% | 23.7\% |
| Hispanic | 18.0 | 51.8\% | 3.2\% | 5.6\% | 0.7\% | 38.7\% |
| Asian/S. Pacific Islander only | 6.1 | 67.5\% | 7.5\% | 3.4\% | 0.9\% | 20.7\% |
| Am. Indian/Aleut.Eskimo only | 0.7 | (53.2\%) | 2.8\% | 9.0\% | 2.2\% | (32.8\%) |
| Two or More Races ${ }^{9}$ | 1.5 | 68.0\% | 4.1\% | 6.7\% | 2.5\% | 18.8\% |
| Citizenship |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. citizen - native | 121.9 | 74.2\% | 5.7\% | 3.6\% | 1.2\% | 15.3\% |
| U.S. citizen - naturalized | 7.7 | 70.1\% | 5.5\% | 3.5\% | 0.7\% | 20.2\% |
| Non-U.S. citizen, resident for < 6 years | 3.9 | 39.6\% | 4.3\% | 4.6\% | 0.5\% | 51.1\% |
| Non-U.S. citizen, resident for $6+$ years | 8.6 | 50.2\% | 3.6\% | 5.2\% | 0.6\% | 40.4\% |

[^4]Table 8
Characteristics of the Nonelderly Uninsured, 2002

|  | Nonelderly (millions) | Percent of Nonelderly | Uninsured (millions) | Percent of Uninsured | Uninsured Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total - Nonelderly ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 250.8 | 100.0\% | 43.3 | 100.0\% | 17.3\% |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children - Total | 77.3 | 30.8\% | 9.3 | 21.5\% | 12.0\% |
| Adults - Total | 173.6 | 69.2\% | 34.0 | 78.5\% | 19.6\% |
| Adults 19-24 | 23.3 | 9.3\% | 7.4 | 17.0\% | 31.6\% |
| Adults 25-34 | 38.9 | 15.5\% | 9.8 | 22.6\% | 25.1\% |
| Adults 35-44 | 43.8 | 17.5\% | 7.8 | 18.0\% | 17.8\% |
| Adults 45-54 | 40.2 | 16.0\% | 5.6 | 12.9\% | 13.9\% |
| Adults 55-64 | 27.4 | 10.9\% | 3.5 | 8.1\% | 12.9\% |
| Annual Family Income |  |  |  |  |  |
| <\$20,000 | 61.3 | 24.4\% | 22.3 | 51.4\% | 36.3\% |
| \$20,000-\$39,999 | 56.5 | 22.5\% | 12.4 | 28.6\% | 21.9\% |
| \$40,000 + | 133.0 | 53.0\% | 8.7 | 20.0\% | 6.5\% |
| Family Poverty Level ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| <100\% | 42.2 | 16.8\% | 15.5 | 35.7\% | 36.7\% |
| 100-199\% | 43.5 | 17.4\% | 12.3 | 28.5\% | 28.3\% |
| ...100-149\% | 22.0 | 8.8\% | 6.9 | 15.8\% | 31.2\% |
| ...150-199\% | 21.5 | 8.6\% | 5.5 | 12.6\% | 25.4\% |
| 200-399\% | 74.1 | 29.6\% | 10.4 | 24.1\% | 14.1\% |
| 400\%+ | 91.0 | 36.3\% | 5.1 | 11.7\% | 5.6\% |
| Household Type |  |  |  |  |  |
| Single Adults Living Alone | 18.3 | 7.3\% | 3.0 | 6.8\% | 16.2\% |
| Single Adults Living Together | 27.6 | 11.0\% | 9.3 | 21.6\% | 33.9\% |
| Married Adults | 50.8 | 20.3\% | 8.0 | 18.5\% | 15.7\% |
| 1 Parent with children ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 30.7 | 12.2\% | 5.9 | 13.7\% | 19.3\% |
| 2 Parents with children ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 111.1 | 44.3\% | 13.3 | 30.8\% | 12.0\% |
| Multigenerational/Other with children ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 12.4 | 4.9\% | 3.8 | 8.7\% | 30.5\% |
| Family Work Status |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Full-time | 71.2 | 28.4\% | 5.9 | 13.6\% | 8.3\% |
| 1 Full-time | 135.4 | 54.0\% | 24.1 | 55.7\% | 17.8\% |
| Only Part-time ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 17.1 | 6.8\% | 5.3 | 12.1\% | 30.8\% |
| Non-Workers | 27.1 | 10.8\% | 8.0 | 18.6\% | 29.6\% |
| Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |
| White only (non-Hispanic) | 165.8 | 66.1\% | 20.7 | 47.8\% | 12.5\% |
| Black only (non-Hispanic) | 31.6 | 12.6\% | 6.8 | 15.7\% | 21.6\% |
| Hispanic | 37.2 | 14.8\% | 12.7 | 29.3\% | 34.1\% |
| Asian/S. Pacific Islander only | 11.0 | 4.4\% | 2.2 | 5.1\% | 20.0\% |
| Am. Indian/Aleut.Eskimo only | 1.5 | 0.6\% | 0.4 | 1.0\% | 28.5\% |
| Two or More Races ${ }^{9}$ | 3.7 | 1.5\% | 0.5 | 1.2\% | 13.8\% |
| Citizenship |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. citizen - native | 221.1 | 88.1\% | 32.3 | 74.5\% | 14.6\% |
| U.S. citizen - naturalized | 10.3 | 4.1\% | 2.2 | 5.1\% | 21.7\% |
| Non-U.S. citizen, resident for < 6 years | 7.2 | 2.9\% | 3.7 | 8.5\% | 51.3\% |
| Non-U.S. citizen, resident for $6+$ years | 12.3 | 4.9\% | 5.1 | 11.9\% | 41.9\% |
| Health Status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excellent/Very Good | 176.1 | 70.2\% | 26.9 | 62.0\% | 15.2\% |
| Good | 54.9 | 21.9\% | 12.6 | 29.1\% | 22.9\% |
| Fair/Poor | 19.8 | 7.9\% | 3.9 | 8.9\% | 19.5\% |
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Table 9
Characteristics of Uninsured Children, 2002

|  | Children (millions) | Percent of Children | Uninsured (millions) | Percent of Uninsured | Uninsured Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total - Children ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 77.3 | 100.0\% | 9.3 | 100.0\% | 12.0\% |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| <1 | 3.4 | 4.4\% | 0.5 | 4.9\% | 13.5\% |
| 1-5 | 20.3 | 26.2\% | 2.1 | 22.9\% | 10.5\% |
| 6-18 | 53.6 | 69.4\% | 6.7 | 72.2\% | 12.5\% |
| Family Income |  |  |  |  |  |
| <\$20,000 | 18.7 | 24.2\% | 4.5 | 48.4\% | 24.1\% |
| \$20,000-\$39,999 | 16.6 | 21.4\% | 2.7 | 29.2\% | 16.4\% |
| \$40,000 + | 42.0 | 54.4\% | 2.1 | 22.3\% | 4.9\% |
| $\underline{\text { Family Poverty Level }{ }^{\text {c }} \text { ( }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| <100\% | 16.7 | 21.6\% | 4.1 | 44.2\% | 24.6\% |
| 100-199\% | 15.6 | 20.2\% | 2.7 | 28.6\% | 17.1\% |
| ...100-149\% | 8.2 | 10.6\% | 1.6 | 17.0\% | 19.4\% |
| ...150-199\% | 7.4 | 9.6\% | 1.1 | 11.6\% | 14.5\% |
| 200-399\% | 23.2 | 30.0\% | 1.8 | 19.6\% | 7.9\% |
| 400\%+ | 21.8 | 28.2\% | 0.7 | 7.6\% | 3.2\% |
| Household Type ${ }^{\text {i }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Parent ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 18.4 | 23.8\% | 2.6 | 27.9\% | 14.1\% |
| 2 Parents ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 52.8 | 68.3\% | 4.9 | 52.3\% | 9.2\% |
| Multigenerational/Other ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 5.4 | 6.9\% | 1.6 | 16.7\% | 28.9\% |
| Family Work Status |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Full-time | 22.2 | 28.7\% | 1.5 | 16.2\% | 6.8\% |
| 1 Full-time | 42.3 | 54.8\% | 4.8 | 52.0\% | 11.4\% |
| Only Part-time ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 4.4 | 5.7\% | 0.8 | 8.8\% | 18.4\% |
| Non-Workers | 8.3 | 10.8\% | 2.1 | 23.0\% | 25.7\% |
| Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |
| White only (non-Hispanic) | 46.4 | 60.1\% | 3.7 | 40.3\% | 8.1\% |
| Black only (non-Hispanic) | 11.5 | 14.9\% | 1.7 | 17.9\% | 14.5\% |
| Hispanic | 14.0 | 18.1\% | 3.3 | 35.0\% | 23.3\% |
| Asian/S. Pacific Islander only | 3.0 | 3.8\% | 0.4 | 4.0\% | 12.7\% |
| Am. Indian/Aleut.Eskimo only | 0.5 | 0.7\% | 0.1 | 1.2\% | (20.2\%) |
| Two or More Races ${ }^{9}$ | 1.9 | 2.4\% | 0.1 | 1.6\% | 8.1\% |
| Citizenship |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Citizen | 74.5 | 96.4\% | 8.1 | 87.5\% | 10.9\% |
| Non-U.S. citizen, resident for < 6 years | 1.6 | 2.1\% | 0.7 | 8.0\% | 45.5\% |
| Non-U.S. citizen, resident for 6+ years | 1.1 | 1.5\% | 0.4 | 4.6\% | (37.3\%) |
| Health Status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excellent/Very Good | 63.1 | 81.6\% | 7.0 | 75.8\% | 11.2\% |
| Good | 12.5 | 16.1\% | 2.1 | 22.4\% | 16.7\% |
| Fair/Poor | 1.7 | 2.2\% | 0.2 | 1.8\% | 9.8\% |

( ) = Estimate has a large 95\% confidence interval of $+/-5.0-7.9$ percentage points.
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Table 10
Characteristics of Uninsured Nonelderly Adults, 2002

|  | Nonelderly Adults (millions) | Percent of Nonelderly Adults | Uninsured (millions) | Percent of Uninsured | Uninsured Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total - Nonelderly Adults ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | 173.6 | 100.0\% | 34.0 | 100.0\% | 19.6\% |
| Gender/Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adult Males Total | 85.1 | 49.0\% | 18.4 | 54.0\% | 21.6\% |
| M 19-34 | 31.0 | 17.8\% | 9.8 | 28.7\% | 31.6\% |
| M 35-54 | 41.0 | 23.6\% | 7.0 | 20.7\% | 17.1\% |
| M 55-64 | 13.1 | 7.6\% | 1.6 | 4.6\% | 11.9\% |
| Adult Females Total | 88.5 | 51.0\% | 15.6 | 46.0\% | 17.7\% |
| F 19-34 | 31.3 | 18.0\% | 7.4 | 21.6\% | 23.5\% |
| F 35-54 | 42.9 | 24.7\% | 6.3 | 18.6\% | 14.8\% |
| F 55-64 | 14.2 | 8.2\% | 2.0 | 5.8\% | 13.8\% |
| Annual Family Income |  |  |  |  |  |
| <\$20,000 | 42.6 | 24.6\% | 17.8 | 52.2\% | 41.6\% |
| \$20,000-\$39,999 | 40.0 | 23.0\% | 9.7 | 28.4\% | 24.1\% |
| \$40,000 + | 91.0 | 52.4\% | 6.6 | 19.4\% | 7.3\% |
| Family Poverty Level ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| <100\% | 25.5 | 14.7\% | 11.4 | 33.4\% | 44.6\% |
| 100-199\% | 27.9 | 16.1\% | 9.7 | 28.4\% | 34.6\% |
| ...100-149\% | 13.9 | 8.0\% | 5.3 | 15.5\% | 38.1\% |
| ...150-199\% | 14.1 | 8.1\% | 4.4 | 12.9\% | 31.2\% |
| 200-399\% | 50.9 | 29.3\% | 8.6 | 25.4\% | 16.9\% |
| 400\%+ | 69.2 | 39.9\% | 4.4 | 12.8\% | 6.3\% |
| Parent Status ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| M Parents | 29.0 | 16.7\% | 4.3 | 12.7\% | 14.9\% |
| M Non-Parents | 56.1 | 32.3\% | 14.0 | 41.3\% | 25.0\% |
| F Parents | 37.4 | 21.6\% | 6.1 | 17.9\% | 16.2\% |
| F Non-Parents | 51.1 | 29.4\% | 9.6 | 28.1\% | 18.7\% |
| Family Work Status |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Full-time | 49.0 | 28.2\% | 4.4 | 12.9\% | 9.0\% |
| 1 Full-time | 93.1 | 53.6\% | 19.3 | 56.7\% | 20.7\% |
| Only Part-time ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 12.6 | 7.3\% | 4.4 | 13.0\% | 35.1\% |
| Non-Workers | 18.8 | 10.8\% | 5.9 | 17.3\% | 31.3\% |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than high school | 22.5 | 13.0\% | 9.1 | 26.8\% | 40.6\% |
| High school graduate | 54.1 | 31.1\% | 12.4 | 36.5\% | 23.0\% |
| Some college/Assoc. degree | 50.6 | 29.2\% | 8.1 | 23.8\% | 16.0\% |
| College grad or greater | 46.4 | 26.7\% | 4.4 | 12.9\% | 9.4\% |
| Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |
| White only (non-Hispanic) | 119.4 | 68.8\% | 16.9 | 49.8\% | 14.2\% |
| Black only (non-Hispanic) | 20.1 | 11.6\% | 5.2 | 15.2\% | 25.6\% |
| Hispanic | 23.2 | 13.4\% | 9.4 | 27.7\% | 40.6\% |
| Asian/S. Pacific Islander only | 8.0 | 4.6\% | 1.8 | 5.4\% | 22.7\% |
| Am. Indian/Aleut.Eskimo only | 0.9 | 0.5\% | 0.3 | 0.9\% | (33.3\%) |
| Two or More Races ${ }^{9}$ | 1.9 | 1.1\% | 0.4 | 1.1\% | 19.5\% |
| Citizenship |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. citizen - native | 147.2 | 84.8\% | 24.2 | 71.3\% | 16.5\% |
| U.S. citizen - naturalized | 9.7 | 5.6\% | 2.1 | 6.2\% | 21.8\% |
| Non-U.S. citizen, resident for $<6$ years | 5.6 | 3.2\% | 3.0 | 8.7\% | 53.0\% |
| Non-U.S. citizen, resident for 6+ years | 11.1 | 6.4\% | 4.7 | 13.9\% | 42.3\% |
| Health Status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excellent/Very Good | 113.1 | 65.1\% | 19.8 | 58.2\% | 17.5\% |
| Good | 42.4 | 24.4\% | 10.5 | 30.9\% | 24.8\% |
| Fair/Poor | 18.1 | 10.4\% | 3.7 | 10.9\% | 20.4\% |

[^5]Table 11
Characteristics of the Low-Income Nonelderly Uninsured (Less than 200\% of Poverty), 2002

|  | Low-Income Nonelderly (millions) | Percent of Low-Income Nonelderly | Uninsured (millions) | Percent of Uninsured | Uninsured Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total - Low-Income Nonelderly ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 85.7 | 100.0\% | 27.8 | 100.0\% | 32.4\% |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children - Total | 32.3 | 37.7\% | 6.8 | 24.4\% | 21.0\% |
| Adults - Total | 53.4 | 62.3\% | 21.0 | 75.6\% | 39.4\% |
| Adults 19-24 | 13.0 | 15.1\% | 5.5 | 19.9\% | 42.6\% |
| Adults 25-34 | 13.7 | 16.0\% | 6.2 | 22.3\% | 45.4\% |
| Adults 35-44 | 11.7 | 13.6\% | 4.7 | 16.8\% | 39.9\% |
| Adults 45-54 | 8.2 | 9.6\% | 2.9 | 10.4\% | 35.2\% |
| Adults 55-64 | 6.9 | 8.0\% | 1.7 | 6.3\% | 25.3\% |
| Annual Family Income |  |  |  |  |  |
| < $\$ 20,000$ | 60.2 | 70.3\% | 22.0 | 79.0\% | 36.4\% |
| \$20,000-\$39,999 | 23.2 | 27.1\% | 5.6 | 20.0\% | 24.0\% |
| \$40,000 + | 2.3 | 2.6\% | 0.3 | 1.0\% | 12.7\% |
| Family Poverty Level ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| <100\% | 42.2 | 49.2\% | 15.5 | 55.7\% | 36.7\% |
| 100-199\% | 43.5 | 50.8\% | 12.3 | 44.3\% | 28.3\% |
| ...100-149\% | 22.0 | 25.7\% | 6.9 | 24.7\% | 31.2\% |
| ...150-199\% | 21.5 | 25.1\% | 5.5 | 19.6\% | 25.4\% |
| Household Type |  |  |  |  |  |
| Single Adults Living Alone | 6.0 | 7.1\% | 1.7 | 6.0\% | 27.7\% |
| Single Adults Living Together | 13.5 | 15.8\% | 6.4 | 22.9\% | 47.2\% |
| Married Adults | 9.1 | 10.6\% | 3.5 | 12.6\% | 38.6\% |
| 1 Parent with children ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 20.0 | 23.3\% | 4.7 | 16.9\% | 23.5\% |
| 2 Parents with children ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 29.0 | 33.9\% | 8.5 | 30.7\% | 29.4\% |
| Multigenerational/Other with children ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 8.1 | 9.4\% | 3.0 | 10.9\% | 37.5\% |
| Family Work Status |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Full-time | 6.0 | 7.0\% | 1.7 | 6.3\% | 29.1\% |
| 1 Full-time | 43.6 | 50.9\% | 14.1 | 50.6\% | 32.3\% |
| Only Part-time ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 12.2 | 14.3\% | 4.4 | 15.7\% | 35.8\% |
| Non-Workers | 23.9 | 27.9\% | 7.6 | 27.4\% | 31.8\% |
| Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |
| White only (non-Hispanic) | 41.4 | 48.3\% | 11.5 | 41.5\% | 27.8\% |
| Black only (non-Hispanic) | 16.8 | 19.6\% | 5.0 | 17.8\% | 29.5\% |
| Hispanic | 21.6 | 25.3\% | 9.4 | 33.8\% | 43.4\% |
| Asian/S. Pacific Islander only | 3.5 | 4.1\% | 1.3 | 4.6\% | 36.2\% |
| Am. Indian/Aleut.Eskimo only | 0.8 | 1.0\% | 0.3 | 1.1\% | (36.0\%) |
| Two or More Races ${ }^{9}$ | 1.5 | 1.7\% | 0.3 | 1.2\% | 22.7\% |
| Citizenship |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. citizen - native | 71.7 | 83.7\% | 20.1 | 72.3\% | 28.0\% |
| U.S. citizen - naturalized | 3.2 | 3.8\% | 1.3 | 4.7\% | 40.3\% |
| Non-U.S. citizen, resident for < 6 years | 4.4 | 5.1\% | 2.9 | 10.3\% | 64.8\% |
| Non-U.S. citizen, resident for $6+$ years | 6.4 | 7.4\% | 3.5 | 12.7\% | 55.7\% |
| Health Status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excellent/Very Good | 51.9 | 60.6\% | 16.5 | 59.5\% | 31.8\% |
| Good | 22.8 | 26.6\% | 8.5 | 30.5\% | 37.2\% |
| Fair/Poor | 11.0 | 12.9\% | 2.8 | 10.1\% | 25.4\% |

( ) = Estimate has a large 95\% confidence interval of +/- 5.0-7.9 percentage points.
THE KAISER COMMISSION ON
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Table 12
Characteristics of Uninsured Workers, 2002

|  | Workers (millions) | Percent of Workers | Uninsured (millions) | Percent of Uninsured | Uninsured Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total - Workers ${ }^{\text {k }}$ | 142.1 | 100.0\% | 25.7 | 100.0\% | 18.1\% |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | 53.0 | 37.3\% | 13.3 | 51.9\% | 25.1\% |
| 35-54 | 70.8 | 49.8\% | 10.2 | 39.9\% | 14.5\% |
| 55-64 | 18.3 | 12.9\% | 2.1 | 8.2\% | 11.5\% |
| Worker's Annual Income ${ }^{\text {' }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| <\$20,000 | 46.5 | 32.7\% | 15.0 | 58.4\% | 32.2\% |
| \$20,000-\$39,999 | 47.9 | 33.7\% | 7.6 | 29.8\% | 15.9\% |
| \$40,000 + | 47.7 | 33.5\% | 3.0 | 11.8\% | 6.4\% |
| Family Poverty Level ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| <100\% | 11.9 | 8.4\% | 5.8 | 22.8\% | 48.9\% |
| 100-199\% | 21.8 | 15.3\% | 8.2 | 32.0\% | 37.8\% |
| 200-399\% | 44.9 | 31.6\% | 7.8 | 30.3\% | 17.3\% |
| 400\%+ | 63.5 | 44.7\% | 3.8 | 14.9\% | 6.0\% |
| Work Status ${ }^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full-time/Full-year | 97.6 | 68.6\% | 14.5 | 56.4\% | 14.8\% |
| Full-time/Part-year | 20.1 | 14.1\% | 5.4 | 21.1\% | 27.0\% |
| Part-time/Full-year | 12.5 | 8.8\% | 3.0 | 11.6\% | 23.7\% |
| Part-time/Part-year | 11.9 | 8.4\% | 2.8 | 10.9\% | 23.4\% |
| Business Size (\# Workers) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-employed | 13.3 | 9.4\% | 3.5 | 13.7\% | 26.3\% |
| $<25$ | 28.8 | 20.3\% | 9.0 | 35.0\% | 31.2\% |
| 25-99 | 17.1 | 12.0\% | 3.5 | 13.8\% | 20.7\% |
| 100-499 | 16.8 | 11.8\% | 2.5 | 9.6\% | 14.6\% |
| 500-999 | 6.3 | 4.4\% | 0.7 | 2.9\% | 11.7\% |
| 1000+ | 39.3 | 27.6\% | 4.9 | 19.3\% | 12.6\% |
| Public Sector | 20.6 | 14.5\% | 1.5 | 5.9\% | 7.3\% |
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Table 12 (continued)
Characteristics of Uninsured Workers, 2002

|  | Workers (millions) | Percent of Workers | Uninsured (millions) | Percent of Uninsured | Uninsured Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total - Workers ${ }^{\text {k }}$ | 142.1 | 100.0\% | 25.7 | 100.0\% | 18.1\% |
| Occupation/Industry |  |  |  |  |  |
| PROFESSIONALS and MANAGERS: | 52.3 | 36.8\% | 4.9 | 19.0\% | 9.3\% |
| Agriculture | 0.9 | 0.6\% | 0.2 | 0.7\% | 20.5\% |
| Construction | 2.4 | 1.7\% | 0.4 | 1.6\% | 16.7\% |
| Finance | 4.7 | 3.3\% | 0.3 | 1.2\% | 6.4\% |
| Health and Social Services | 6.9 | 4.9\% | 0.5 | 1.9\% | 7.1\% |
| Information/Communications/Education | 9.6 | 6.8\% | 0.6 | 2.4\% | 6.4\% |
| Mining/Manufacturing | 5.6 | 3.9\% | 0.3 | 1.2\% | 5.3\% |
| Professions | 7.2 | 5.1\% | 0.7 | 2.8\% | 9.8\% |
| Public Administration | 2.8 | 2.0\% | 0.1 | 0.4\% | 3.6\% |
| Services | 4.5 | 3.2\% | 0.9 | 3.3\% | 18.8\% |
| Utilities and Transportation | 1.4 | 1.0\% | 0.1 | 0.4\% | 6.9\% |
| Wholesale and Retail Trade | 6.2 | 4.3\% | 0.8 | 3.2\% | 13.2\% |
| OTHER OCCUPATIONS: ${ }^{\text {m }}$ | 89.7 | 63.1\% | 20.8 | 81.0\% | 23.2\% |
| Agriculture | 1.2 | 0.8\% | 0.5 | 1.9\% | 42.7\% |
| Construction | 8.4 | 5.9\% | 3.2 | 12.4\% | 37.8\% |
| Finance | 5.1 | 3.6\% | 0.7 | 2.8\% | 14.0\% |
| Health and Social Services | 9.9 | 7.0\% | 1.7 | 6.5\% | 16.8\% |
| Information/Communications/Education | 6.7 | 4.7\% | 0.9 | 3.3\% | 12.9\% |
| Mining/Manufacturing | 12.5 | 8.8\% | 2.1 | 8.3\% | 17.0\% |
| Professions | 7.6 | 5.4\% | 2.2 | 8.6\% | 28.9\% |
| Public Administration | 3.2 | 2.3\% | 0.2 | 0.9\% | 7.2\% |
| Services | 14.6 | 10.2\% | 5.0 | 19.6\% | 34.6\% |
| Utilities and Transportation | 5.7 | 4.0\% | 1.1 | 4.2\% | 19.1\% |
| Wholesale and Retail Trade | 14.8 | 10.4\% | 3.2 | 12.4\% | 21.5\% |
| Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |
| White only (non-Hispanic) | 100.5 | 70.7\% | 13.3 | 51.8\% | 13.2\% |
| Black only (non-Hispanic) | 15.3 | 10.8\% | 3.6 | 14.1\% | 23.7\% |
| Hispanic | 18.0 | 12.7\% | 7.0 | 27.2\% | 38.7\% |
| Asian/S. Pacific Islander only | 6.1 | 4.3\% | 1.3 | 5.0\% | 20.7\% |
| Am. Indian/Aleut.Eskimo only | 0.7 | 0.5\% | 0.2 | 0.9\% | (32.8\%) |
| Two or More Races ${ }^{9}$ | 1.5 | 1.0\% | 0.3 | 1.1\% | 18.8\% |
| Citizenship |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. citizen - native | 121.9 | 85.8\% | 18.6 | 72.6\% | 15.3\% |
| U.S. citizen - naturalized | 7.7 | 5.4\% | 1.6 | 6.1\% | 20.2\% |
| Non-U.S. citizen, resident for < 6 years | 3.9 | 2.8\% | 2.0 | 7.8\% | 51.1\% |
| Non-U.S. citizen, resident for 6+ years | 8.6 | 6.0\% | 3.5 | 13.5\% | 40.4\% |

( ) = Estimate has a large 95\% confidence interval of +/- 5.0-7.9 percentage points.

Table 13
Health Insurance Coverage of the Nonelderly
by State, 2001-2002

|  | Nonelderly <br> (thousands) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Percent Distribution by Coverage Type |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Private |  | Public |  | Uninsured |
|  |  | Employer | Individual | Medicaid | Other ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| United States | 249,183 | 64.0\% | 5.2\% | 11.7\% | 2.2\% | 16.9\% |
| Alabama | 3,822 | 66.3\% | 3.9\% | 12.0\% | 2.9\% | 14.9\% |
| Alaska | 579 | 58.5\% | 3.3\% | 14.2\% | 5.3\% | 18.7\% |
| Arizona | 4,682 | 58.5\% | 6.6\% | 12.2\% | 2.9\% | 19.8\% |
| Arkansas | 2,270 | 55.2\% | 5.9\% | 15.3\% | 4.7\% | 19.0\% |
| California | 31,370 | 57.4\% | 6.3\% | 13.8\% | 1.8\% | 20.8\% |
| Colorado | 3,981 | 66.5\% | 6.5\% | 5.9\% | 3.5\% | 17.6\% |
| Connecticut | 2,890 | 73.1\% | 4.7\% | 8.4\% | 1.7\% | 12.1\% |
| Delaware | 692 | 72.5\% | 4.2\% | 9.8\% | 2.5\% | 10.9\% |
| District of Columbia | 495 | 60.0\% | 5.5\% | 19.0\% | 1.1\% | 14.4\% |
| Florida | 13,642 | 58.8\% | 6.7\% | 11.0\% | 2.8\% | 20.7\% |
| Georgia | 7,600 | 64.4\% | 4.7\% | 10.3\% | 2.8\% | 17.9\% |
| Hawaii | 1,037 | 68.5\% | 4.3\% | 11.3\% | 4.6\% | 11.3\% |
| Idaho | 1,156 | 61.3\% | 5.2\% | 12.3\% | 2.2\% | 19.1\% |
| Illinois | 10,966 | 68.2\% | 4.9\% | 9.7\% | 1.6\% | 15.6\% |
| Indiana | 5,276 | 71.7\% | 5.1\% | 7.3\% | 1.7\% | 14.2\% |
| Iowa | 2,498 | 71.2\% | 8.4\% | 9.1\% | 1.5\% | 9.8\% |
| Kansas | 2,265 | 68.0\% | 7.1\% | 8.5\% | 3.6\% | 12.8\% |
| Kentucky | 3,483 | 64.3\% | 4.2\% | 11.9\% | 4.7\% | 14.9\% |
| Louisiana | 3,864 | 55.2\% | 5.4\% | 14.9\% | 3.2\% | 21.4\% |
| Maine | 1,067 | 64.5\% | 4.4\% | 15.6\% | 2.6\% | 12.9\% |
| Maryland | 4,759 | 73.5\% | 4.6\% | 6.1\% | 1.4\% | 14.4\% |
| Massachusetts | 5,548 | 70.5\% | 4.4\% | 13.3\% | 1.4\% | 10.4\% |
| Michigan | 8,765 | 70.9\% | 3.7\% | 11.5\% | 1.5\% | 12.4\% |
| Minnesota | 4,487 | 74.8\% | 6.5\% | 8.8\% | 1.1\% | 8.8\% |
| Mississippi | 2,476 | 53.6\% | 3.9\% | 21.1\% | 2.9\% | 18.6\% |
| Missouri | 4,881 | 68.2\% | 6.1\% | 11.7\% | 1.6\% | 12.4\% |
| Montana | 761 | 55.4\% | 11.8\% | 11.5\% | 4.3\% | 17.0\% |
| Nebraska | 1,478 | 65.7\% | 9.5\% | 10.3\% | 3.3\% | 11.2\% |
| Nevada | 1,887 | 67.9\% | 4.0\% | 5.9\% | 2.2\% | 20.1\% |
| New Hampshire | 1,095 | 77.3\% | 3.3\% | 6.5\% | 1.8\% | 11.1\% |
| New Jersey | 7,353 | 71.4\% | 3.0\% | 8.9\% | 1.2\% | 15.5\% |
| New Mexico | 1,574 | 50.4\% | 4.1\% | 18.2\% | 3.2\% | 24.0\% |
| New York | 16,609 | 61.4\% | 3.9\% | 15.7\% | 1.2\% | 17.8\% |
| North Carolina | 7,087 | 61.4\% | 4.2\% | 12.1\% | 4.5\% | 17.8\% |
| North Dakota | 534 | 63.6\% | 10.8\% | 9.3\% | 4.2\% | 12.1\% |
| Ohio | 9,792 | 71.2\% | 4.0\% | 10.1\% | 1.5\% | 13.2\% |
| Oklahoma | 2,965 | 58.4\% | 5.1\% | 11.9\% | 4.1\% | 20.5\% |
| Oregon | 3,096 | 61.6\% | 8.0\% | 13.3\% | 1.8\% | 15.4\% |
| Pennsylvania | 10,404 | 71.4\% | 5.0\% | 10.5\% | 1.3\% | 11.9\% |
| Rhode Island | 895 | 68.6\% | 4.9\% | 15.3\% | 1.1\% | 10.2\% |
| South Carolina | 3,461 | 63.5\% | 4.5\% | 14.3\% | 3.4\% | 14.3\% |
| South Dakota | 641 | 66.7\% | 10.0\% | 8.8\% | 2.6\% | 12.0\% |
| Tennessee | 5,049 | 60.8\% | 5.1\% | 19.4\% | 2.4\% | 12.3\% |
| Texas | 19,162 | 55.5\% | 4.9\% | 10.8\% | 1.5\% | 27.2\% |
| Utah | 2,110 | 68.7\% | 5.8\% | 9.0\% | 1.3\% | 15.2\% |
| Vermont | 539 | 63.7\% | 5.7\% | 17.8\% | 1.3\% | 11.5\% |
| Virginia | 6,211 | 69.4\% | 4.8\% | 7.0\% | 5.0\% | 13.9\% |
| Washington | 5,295 | 63.8\% | 6.1\% | 12.6\% | 2.2\% | 15.3\% |
| West Virginia | 1,469 | 58.6\% | 3.0\% | 17.7\% | 4.2\% | 16.6\% |
| Wisconsin | 4,738 | 72.3\% | 6.2\% | 10.1\% | 1.5\% | 9.9\% |
| Wyoming | 426 | 63.4\% | 5.5\% | 9.2\% | 2.7\% | 19.2\% |
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Table 14
Health Insurance Coverage of Children
by State, 2001-2002
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Table 15
Health Insurance Coverage of Nonelderly Adults
by State, 2001-2002

|  | Nonelderly <br> Adults (thousands) ${ }^{j}$ | Percent Distribution by Coverage Type |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Private |  | Public |  | Uninsured |
|  |  | Employer | Individual | Medicaid | Other ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| United States | 172,274 | 66.1\% | 5.7\% | 6.7\% | 2.5\% | 19.1\% |
| Alabama | 2,630 | 67.8\% | 4.3\% | 7.0\% | 3.9\% | 17.1\% |
| Alaska | 376 | 62.4\% | 3.7\% | 6.8\% | 4.8\% | 22.2\% |
| Arizona | 3,103 | 61.1\% | 7.1\% | 6.8\% | 3.7\% | 21.3\% |
| Arkansas | 1,546 | 58.8\% | 6.6\% | 6.5\% | 5.7\% | 22.5\% |
| California | 21,101 | 59.7\% | 7.0\% | 8.0\% | 1.8\% | 23.5\% |
| Colorado | 2,763 | 66.9\% | 7.2\% | 3.6\% | 3.1\% | 19.2\% |
| Connecticut | 2,006 | 73.5\% | 5.4\% | 5.2\% | 2.0\% | 13.8\% |
| Delaware | 485 | 74.1\% | 4.8\% | 6.5\% | 2.9\% | 11.6\% |
| District of Columbia | 376 | 64.7\% | 6.6\% | 11.2\% | 1.4\% | 16.1\% |
| Florida | 9,529 | 60.9\% | 7.3\% | 5.8\% | 3.2\% | 22.8\% |
| Georgia | 5,212 | 67.2\% | 5.5\% | 4.3\% | 3.3\% | 19.7\% |
| Hawaii | 704 | 71.4\% | 5.1\% | 7.1\% | 3.9\% | 12.6\% |
| Idaho | 761 | 63.2\% | 5.5\% | 6.7\% | 2.4\% | 22.2\% |
| Illinois | 7,613 | 69.4\% | 5.2\% | 5.7\% | 2.2\% | 17.6\% |
| Indiana | 3,655 | 73.1\% | 5.0\% | 3.7\% | 2.3\% | 15.9\% |
| lowa | 1,731 | 72.4\% | 8.7\% | 5.3\% | 1.8\% | 11.7\% |
| Kansas | 1,554 | 69.9\% | 7.5\% | 4.3\% | 3.2\% | 15.1\% |
| Kentucky | 2,438 | 68.3\% | 4.4\% | 6.2\% | 4.8\% | 16.4\% |
| Louisiana | 2,591 | 57.9\% | 5.8\% | 6.7\% | 4.1\% | 25.6\% |
| Maine | 782 | 66.6\% | 4.7\% | 10.6\% | 3.0\% | 15.0\% |
| Maryland | 3,272 | 73.4\% | 5.1\% | 3.4\% | 1.5\% | 16.6\% |
| Massachusetts | 4,040 | 71.7\% | 4.9\% | 9.8\% | 1.4\% | 12.1\% |
| Michigan | 6,146 | 72.4\% | 4.3\% | 7.0\% | 1.9\% | 14.4\% |
| Minnesota | 3,211 | 75.5\% | 6.9\% | 6.3\% | 1.4\% | 10.0\% |
| Mississippi | 1,660 | 58.7\% | 4.2\% | 11.9\% | 3.1\% | 22.1\% |
| Missouri | 3,399 | 69.5\% | 6.5\% | 6.3\% | 2.2\% | 15.4\% |
| Montana | 528 | 56.7\% | 12.7\% | 6.7\% | 5.3\% | 18.6\% |
| Nebraska | 1,018 | 68.3\% | 10.9\% | 4.8\% | 2.8\% | 13.3\% |
| Nevada | 1,279 | 68.9\% | 4.1\% | 3.1\% | 2.7\% | 21.2\% |
| New Hampshire | 783 | 78.1\% | 3.5\% | 2.9\% | 2.4\% | 13.1\% |
| New Jersey | 5,238 | 71.8\% | 3.2\% | 6.1\% | 1.5\% | 17.4\% |
| New Mexico | 1,046 | 55.0\% | 5.1\% | 7.4\% | 4.3\% | 28.3\% |
| New York | 11,732 | 63.4\% | 4.1\% | 10.0\% | 1.5\% | 21.0\% |
| North Carolina | 4,909 | 64.7\% | 4.7\% | 6.0\% | 4.3\% | 20.3\% |
| North Dakota | 387 | 65.3\% | 11.6\% | 5.7\% | 3.7\% | 13.7\% |
| Ohio | 6,843 | 72.3\% | 4.5\% | 5.9\% | 1.9\% | 15.4\% |
| Oklahoma | 2,039 | 61.4\% | 5.4\% | 5.4\% | 4.4\% | 23.5\% |
| Oregon | 2,194 | 63.3\% | 8.2\% | 9.4\% | 2.2\% | 16.9\% |
| Pennsylvania | 7,438 | 72.8\% | 5.5\% | 6.9\% | 1.6\% | 13.1\% |
| Rhode Island | 641 | 70.5\% | 5.4\% | 10.4\% | 1.4\% | 12.3\% |
| South Carolina | 2,391 | 66.1\% | 4.9\% | 8.3\% | 4.0\% | 16.7\% |
| South Dakota | 442 | 67.5\% | 11.0\% | 4.8\% | 3.0\% | 13.7\% |
| Tennessee | 3,571 | 63.2\% | 5.6\% | 13.8\% | 2.8\% | 14.6\% |
| Texas | 12,727 | 58.6\% | 5.5\% | 4.3\% | 1.9\% | 29.7\% |
| Utah | 1,324 | 69.2\% | 6.4\% | 4.6\% | 1.7\% | 18.1\% |
| Vermont | 400 | 67.1\% | 6.5\% | 11.1\% | 1.5\% | 13.9\% |
| Virginia | 4,303 | 70.3\% | 5.4\% | 4.2\% | 4.6\% | 15.4\% |
| Washington | 3,665 | 66.3\% | 6.6\% | 7.5\% | 2.5\% | 17.0\% |
| West Virginia | 1,053 | 61.6\% | 3.4\% | 10.3\% | 5.3\% | 19.3\% |
| Wisconsin | 3,341 | 73.1\% | 6.8\% | 6.2\% | 1.9\% | 12.0\% |
| Wyoming | 297 | 65.0\% | 5.6\% | 4.5\% | 3.2\% | 21.7\% |
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Table 16
Health Insurance Coverage of the Low-Income Nonelderly (Less than 200\% of Poverty) by State, 2001-2002
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Table 17
Health Insurance Coverage of Low-Income Children
(Less than 200\% of Poverty) by State, 2001-2002

( ) = Estimate has a large 95\% confidence interval of $+/-5.0-7.9$ percentage points.
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Table 18
Health Insurance Coverage of Low-Income Nonelderly Adults
(Less than 200\% of Poverty) by State, 2001-2002

| United States | Low-Income Nonelderly Adults (thousands) ${ }^{j}$ | \% of Nonelderly Adults with Low Incomes ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Percent Distribution by Coverage Type |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Private |  | Public |  | Uninsured |
|  |  |  | Employer | Individual | Medicaid | Other ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
|  | 52,640 |  | 30.5\% | 7.9\% | 18.1\% | 4.6\% | 38.9\% |
| Alabama | 896 | 34.1\% | 32.8\% | 5.3\% | 19.1\% | 7.5\% | 35.3\% |
| Alaska | 90 | 24.0\% | 23.9\% | 3.9\% | 21.5\% | 5.9\% | 44.8\% |
| Arizona | 1,020 | 32.9\% | 27.2\% | 8.2\% | 17.6\% | 5.3\% | 41.6\% |
| Arkansas | 593 | 38.3\% | 30.3\% | 7.1\% | 13.8\% | 10.2\% | 38.6\% |
| California | 7,234 | 34.3\% | 25.7\% | 8.8\% | 18.8\% | 2.7\% | 44.1\% |
| Colorado | 719 | 26.0\% | 30.3\% | 11.1\% | 9.5\% | 5.2\% | 43.9\% |
| Connecticut | 454 | 22.6\% | 34.7\% | 7.8\% | 19.2\% | 5.7\% | 32.7\% |
| Delaware | 114 | 23.5\% | 36.5\% | 7.6\% | 21.9\% | 6.3\% | 27.7\% |
| District of Columbia | 117 | 31.2\% | 24.0\% | 9.9\% | 30.2\% | 2.5\% | 33.4\% |
| Florida | 3,099 | 32.5\% | 27.9\% | 9.6\% | 14.7\% | 4.7\% | 43.2\% |
| Georgia | 1,561 | 30.0\% | 35.7\% | 6.5\% | 12.0\% | 6.5\% | 39.4\% |
| Hawaii | 236 | 33.5\% | 43.7\% | 8.6\% | 17.8\% | 5.9\% | 23.9\% |
| Idaho | 252 | 33.1\% | 34.4\% | 5.7\% | 16.0\% | 2.9\% | 41.1\% |
| Illinois | 2,170 | 28.5\% | 32.7\% | 7.4\% | 16.9\% | 5.4\% | 37.6\% |
| Indiana | 982 | 26.9\% | 40.3\% | 7.0\% | 11.5\% | 5.9\% | 35.2\% |
| Iowa | 422 | 24.4\% | 37.0\% | 13.0\% | 16.5\% | 3.7\% | 29.8\% |
| Kansas | 424 | 27.3\% | 33.8\% | 12.6\% | 12.2\% | 4.8\% | 36.6\% |
| Kentucky | 818 | 33.5\% | 34.8\% | 7.1\% | 15.8\% | 9.0\% | 33.4\% |
| Louisiana | 1,021 | 39.4\% | 29.3\% | 5.6\% | 15.7\% | 4.9\% | 44.4\% |
| Maine | 229 | 29.2\% | 27.0\% | 7.4\% | 29.5\% | 6.4\% | 29.7\% |
| Maryland | 714 | 21.8\% | 32.9\% | 8.0\% | 12.2\% | 3.3\% | 43.6\% |
| Massachusetts | 989 | 24.5\% | 29.4\% | 7.9\% | 34.2\% | 3.0\% | 25.5\% |
| Michigan | 1,728 | 28.1\% | 35.6\% | 8.4\% | 19.9\% | 4.4\% | 31.6\% |
| Minnesota | 650 | 20.3\% | 36.5\% | 10.7\% | 21.5\% | 2.6\% | 28.8\% |
| Mississippi | 691 | 41.6\% | 28.0\% | 4.9\% | 24.4\% | 4.8\% | 37.9\% |
| Missouri | 936 | 27.5\% | 32.9\% | 9.7\% | 18.9\% | 4.8\% | 33.8\% |
| Montana | 186 | 35.2\% | 26.2\% | 16.8\% | 16.6\% | 7.4\% | 33.0\% |
| Nebraska | 255 | 25.1\% | 33.3\% | 18.6\% | 15.0\% | 4.0\% | 29.1\% |
| Nevada | 380 | 29.7\% | 39.8\% | 4.9\% | 6.2\% | 4.4\% | 44.7\% |
| New Hampshire | 148 | 18.9\% | 40.0\% | 7.2\% | 12.3\% | 6.4\% | 34.2\% |
| New Jersey | 1,302 | 24.9\% | 31.0\% | 4.4\% | 19.8\% | 4.3\% | 40.5\% |
| New Mexico | 436 | 41.7\% | 26.0\% | 4.7\% | 16.4\% | 6.1\% | 46.8\% |
| New York | 3,874 | 33.0\% | 27.4\% | 5.2\% | 25.2\% | 2.9\% | 39.3\% |
| North Carolina | 1,609 | 32.8\% | 29.0\% | 6.9\% | 16.1\% | 8.3\% | 39.7\% |
| North Dakota | 114 | 29.4\% | 32.7\% | 17.6\% | 16.6\% | 5.2\% | 27.9\% |
| Ohio | 1,892 | 27.6\% | 34.2\% | 7.6\% | 18.5\% | 4.9\% | 34.8\% |
| Oklahoma | 673 | 33.0\% | 29.8\% | 6.1\% | 13.6\% | 6.5\% | 43.9\% |
| Oregon | 700 | 31.9\% | 27.1\% | 10.6\% | 24.5\% | 4.1\% | 33.7\% |
| Pennsylvania | 1,990 | 26.8\% | 35.0\% | 10.2\% | 21.9\% | 3.7\% | 29.2\% |
| Rhode Island | 165 | 25.7\% | 29.9\% | 8.6\% | 30.2\% | 2.9\% | 28.4\% |
| South Carolina | 718 | 30.0\% | 27.5\% | 8.5\% | 22.8\% | 6.8\% | 34.4\% |
| South Dakota | 123 | 27.9\% | 36.1\% | 14.8\% | 13.7\% | 5.1\% | 30.4\% |
| Tennessee | 1,203 | 33.7\% | 29.7\% | 8.2\% | 32.3\% | 4.3\% | 25.6\% |
| Texas | 4,734 | 37.2\% | 27.4\% | 6.3\% | 10.0\% | 3.0\% | 53.3\% |
| Utah | 418 | 31.6\% | 39.4\% | 10.6\% | 11.6\% | 3.1\% | 35.3\% |
| Vermont | 105 | 26.4\% | 28.7\% | 10.8\% | 31.3\% | 3.7\% | 25.5\% |
| Virginia | 1,091 | 25.4\% | 36.1\% | 7.6\% | 12.8\% | 8.2\% | 35.3\% |
| Washington | 1,057 | 28.8\% | 30.9\% | 10.6\% | 20.6\% | 4.7\% | 33.3\% |
| West Virginia | 415 | 39.4\% | 29.9\% | 3.7\% | 23.3\% | 10.0\% | 33.2\% |
| Wisconsin | 807 | 24.2\% | 34.4\% | 12.3\% | 20.8\% | 4.9\% | 27.6\% |
| Wyoming | 85 | 28.5\% | 31.3\% | 8.0\% | 12.5\% | 5.6\% | 42.7\% |
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Table 19
Uninsured Rates Among Children
by Poverty Level and State, 2001-2002

| United States | Children (thousands) $^{\text {h }}$ 76,909 | Percent Uninsured Within Poverty Levels |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | All Levels ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\leq 200 \%$ FPL | 200-399\% FPL | 400\%+FPL |
|  |  | 12.1\% | 21.1\% | 7.7\% | 3.2\% |
| Alabama | 1,192 | 10.0\% | 17.4\% | 4.2\% | 2.5\% |
| Alaska | 204 | 12.2\% | 18.7\% | 11.7\% | 5.3\% |
| Arizona | 1,579 | 17.0\% | 28.2\% | 10.1\% | 5.1\% |
| Arkansas | 724 | 11.6\% | 15.0\% | 8.7\% | 4.8\% |
| California | 10,269 | 15.1\% | 23.7\% | 10.8\% | 4.3\% |
| Colorado | 1,218 | 14.0\% | 28.6\% | 9.0\% | 3.6\% |
| Connecticut | 883 | 8.0\% | 19.7\% | 4.7\% | 2.0\% |
| Delaware | 208 | 9.3\% | (17.9\%) | 7.0\% | 2.8\% |
| District of Columbia | 119 | 9.1\% | 12.6\% | 4.6\% | 3.7\% |
| Florida | 4,113 | 15.7\% | 25.9\% | 10.4\% | 3.8\% |
| Georgia | 2,388 | 14.0\% | 22.2\% | 9.1\% | 6.1\% |
| Hawaii | 333 | 8.6\% | 13.2\% | 5.6\% | 3.4\% |
| Idaho | 395 | 13.1\% | 19.9\% | 8.5\% | 5.5\% |
| Illinois | 3,354 | 11.2\% | 21.1\% | 7.4\% | 2.4\% |
| Indiana | 1,620 | 10.5\% | 21.2\% | 4.4\% | 2.6\% |
| lowa | 766 | 5.4\% | 11.8\% | 2.8\% | 1.2\% |
| Kansas | 711 | 7.8\% | 16.2\% | 4.6\% | 1.4\% |
| Kentucky | 1,045 | 11.3\% | 17.2\% | 8.0\% | 3.8\% |
| Louisiana | 1,273 | 12.9\% | 19.4\% | 8.1\% | 3.2\% |
| Maine | 284 | 7.1\% | 11.8\% | 5.0\% | 2.7\% |
| Maryland | 1,488 | 9.8\% | (23.1\%) | 8.9\% | 2.8\% |
| Massachusetts | 1,508 | 5.8\% | 10.5\% | 5.0\% | 2.6\% |
| Michigan | 2,619 | 7.7\% | 15.1\% | 4.4\% | 2.4\% |
| Minnesota | 1,276 | 5.8\% | 16.8\% | 2.3\% | 1.7\% |
| Mississippi | 817 | 11.5\% | 16.0\% | 8.1\% | 2.9\% |
| Missouri | 1,481 | 5.5\% | 10.2\% | 3.9\% | 1.7\% |
| Montana | 234 | 13.6\% | 19.3\% | 10.1\% | 6.0\% |
| Nebraska | 460 | 6.7\% | 12.7\% | 4.6\% | 1.7\% |
| Nevada | 608 | 17.7\% | 29.9\% | 9.1\% | 6.0\% |
| New Hampshire | 311 | 6.2\% | 11.6\% | 6.7\% | 2.3\% |
| New Jersey | 2,114 | 10.8\% | 24.8\% | 9.3\% | 2.3\% |
| New Mexico | 529 | 15.7\% | 22.6\% | 8.1\% | 3.8\% |
| New York | 4,876 | 10.0\% | 15.8\% | 7.1\% | 4.1\% |
| North Carolina | 2,178 | 12.3\% | 19.5\% | 8.8\% | 2.9\% |
| North Dakota | 147 | 7.7\% | 13.8\% | 3.3\% | 3.7\% |
| Ohio | 2,949 | 8.1\% | 16.3\% | 4.1\% | 2.6\% |
| Oklahoma | 926 | 14.1\% | 20.9\% | 9.4\% | 5.2\% |
| Oregon | 902 | 11.6\% | 20.6\% | 6.9\% | 2.9\% |
| Pennsylvania | 2,966 | 9.1\% | 19.2\% | 4.2\% | 2.2\% |
| Rhode Island | 254 | 5.0\% | 9.8\% | 1.6\% | 3.4\% |
| South Carolina | 1,071 | 8.9\% | 15.2\% | 4.3\% | 3.6\% |
| South Dakota | 199 | 8.2\% | 16.1\% | 5.3\% | 1.7\% |
| Tennessee | 1,478 | 6.8\% | 11.9\% | 2.9\% | 1.8\% |
| Texas | 6,435 | 22.2\% | 33.9\% | 15.0\% | 4.2\% |
| Utah | 786 | 10.3\% | 19.0\% | 5.8\% | 3.3\% |
| Vermont | 140 | 4.7\% | 7.9\% | 3.8\% | 2.2\% |
| Virginia | 1,908 | 10.4\% | 22.0\% | 7.0\% | 1.7\% |
| Washington | 1,630 | 11.4\% | 18.9\% | 9.3\% | 3.7\% |
| West Virginia | 416 | 9.5\% | 12.7\% | 8.2\% | 2.1\% |
| Wisconsin | 1,396 | 4.9\% | 9.8\% | 3.5\% | 1.1\% |
| Wyoming | 129 | 13.3\% | (24.2\%) | 7.2\% | 5.0\% |
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Table 20
Uninsured Rates Among Nonelderly Adults
by Poverty Level and State, 2001-2002

| United States | Nonelderly Adults (thousands)$172,274$ | Percent Uninsured Within Poverty Levels |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | All Levels ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\leq 200 \%$ FPL | 200-399\% FPL | $\underline{400 \%+\mathrm{FPL}}$ |
|  |  | 19.1\% | 38.9\% | 16.0\% | 6.2\% |
| Alabama | 2,630 | 17.1\% | 35.3\% | 9.8\% | 5.9\% |
| Alaska | 376 | 22.2\% | 44.8\% | 26.0\% | 8.3\% |
| Arizona | 3,103 | 21.3\% | 41.6\% | 17.3\% | 6.4\% |
| Arkansas | 1,546 | 22.5\% | 38.6\% | 17.5\% | 7.1\% |
| California | 21,101 | 23.5\% | 44.1\% | 21.0\% | 7.1\% |
| Colorado | 2,763 | 19.2\% | 43.9\% | 18.0\% | 5.8\% |
| Connecticut | 2,006 | 13.8\% | 32.7\% | 15.0\% | 5.0\% |
| Delaware | 485 | 11.6\% | 27.7\% | 10.9\% | 3.7\% |
| District of Columbia | 376 | 16.1\% | 33.4\% | 13.2\% | 5.0\% |
| Florida | 9,529 | 22.8\% | 43.2\% | 19.9\% | 7.3\% |
| Georgia | 5,212 | 19.7\% | 39.4\% | 17.1\% | 6.7\% |
| Hawaii | 704 | 12.6\% | 23.9\% | 8.5\% | 5.6\% |
| Idaho | 761 | 22.2\% | 41.1\% | 15.9\% | 9.0\% |
| Illinois | 7,613 | 17.6\% | 37.6\% | 14.2\% | 6.4\% |
| Indiana | 3,655 | 15.9\% | 35.2\% | 11.0\% | 7.0\% |
| lowa | 1,731 | 11.7\% | 29.8\% | 9.1\% | 3.0\% |
| Kansas | 1,554 | 15.1\% | 36.6\% | 10.3\% | 4.7\% |
| Kentucky | 2,438 | 16.4\% | 33.4\% | 11.0\% | 5.3\% |
| Louisiana | 2,591 | 25.6\% | 44.4\% | 18.4\% | 9.2\% |
| Maine | 782 | 15.0\% | 29.7\% | 12.4\% | 5.9\% |
| Maryland | 3,272 | 16.6\% | 43.6\% | 16.4\% | 5.5\% |
| Massachusetts | 4,040 | 12.1\% | 25.5\% | 14.1\% | 4.3\% |
| Michigan | 6,146 | 14.4\% | 31.6\% | 11.6\% | 4.9\% |
| Minnesota | 3,211 | 10.0\% | 28.8\% | 8.4\% | 3.1\% |
| Mississippi | 1,660 | 22.1\% | 37.9\% | 15.4\% | 6.0\% |
| Missouri | 3,399 | 15.4\% | 33.8\% | 11.3\% | 6.3\% |
| Montana | 528 | 18.6\% | 33.0\% | 13.7\% | 7.2\% |
| Nebraska | 1,018 | 13.3\% | 29.1\% | 10.3\% | 6.1\% |
| Nevada | 1,279 | 21.2\% | 44.7\% | 15.0\% | 8.1\% |
| New Hampshire | 783 | 13.1\% | 34.2\% | 13.9\% | 4.9\% |
| New Jersey | 5,238 | 17.4\% | 40.5\% | 17.8\% | 5.8\% |
| New Mexico | 1,046 | 28.3\% | 46.8\% | 21.5\% | 8.1\% |
| New York | 11,732 | 21.0\% | 39.3\% | 19.7\% | 6.6\% |
| North Carolina | 4,909 | 20.3\% | 39.7\% | 16.1\% | 6.0\% |
| North Dakota | 387 | 13.7\% | 27.9\% | 9.9\% | 5.7\% |
| Ohio | 6,843 | 15.4\% | 34.8\% | 11.5\% | 5.2\% |
| Oklahoma | 2,039 | 23.5\% | 43.9\% | 19.0\% | 8.0\% |
| Oregon | 2,194 | 16.9\% | 33.7\% | 13.9\% | 4.9\% |
| Pennsylvania | 7,438 | 13.1\% | 29.2\% | 10.3\% | 5.0\% |
| Rhode Island | 641 | 12.3\% | 28.4\% | 10.6\% | 4.2\% |
| South Carolina | 2,391 | 16.7\% | 34.4\% | 13.7\% | 4.6\% |
| South Dakota | 442 | 13.7\% | 30.4\% | 10.3\% | 3.9\% |
| Tennessee | 3,571 | 14.6\% | 25.6\% | 11.9\% | 6.6\% |
| Texas | 12,727 | 29.7\% | 53.3\% | 24.9\% | 8.9\% |
| Utah | 1,324 | 18.1\% | 35.3\% | 13.9\% | 6.0\% |
| Vermont | 400 | 13.9\% | 25.5\% | 14.5\% | 5.5\% |
| Virginia | 4,303 | 15.4\% | 35.3\% | 15.6\% | 4.5\% |
| Washington | 3,665 | 17.0\% | 33.3\% | 16.4\% | 6.5\% |
| West Virginia | 1,053 | 19.3\% | 33.2\% | 13.6\% | 6.6\% |
| Wisconsin | 3,341 | 12.0\% | 27.6\% | 11.1\% | 3.8\% |
| Wyoming | 297 | 21.7\% | 42.7\% | 19.2\% | 7.9\% |
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## Table Endnotes

The term family as used in family income, family poverty levels, and family work status, is defined as a health insurance unit (those who are eligible as a group for "family" coverage in a health plan) throughout this report.
a Nonelderly includes all individuals under age 65.
b Other includes other public insurance (mostly Medicare and military-related). S-CHIP is included in Medicaid.
c The 2002 federal poverty level for a family of three was $\$ 14,348$.
d Parent includes any person with a dependent child.
e Multigenerational/other families with children include families with at least three generations in a household, plus families in which adults are caring for children other than their own (e.g., a niece living with her aunt).
f Part-time workers were defined as working < 35 hours per week.
9 For the first time this year, respondents could identify themselves in more than one racial group. Since there is no way of knowing how people who reported more than one race in 2003 previously reported their race, comparisons in health insurance coverage by race/ethnicity cannot be made with earlier years.
h
Children includes all individuals under age 19.
Approximately $1 \%$ of children live in households with no adult, $77 \%$ of whom are 17-18 years old.
Nonelderly adults includes all individuals aged 19-64.
Workers includes all workers aged 18-64.

Worker's income only; does not include income from other family members or other sources.
${ }^{m}$ Other occupations includes the following types of jobs: assistants, clerical workers, technicians, repair workers, artists, entertainers, sports-related workers, service workers, laborers, salespersons, operators (equipment, including drivers), skilled trade workers, and assemblers.

## Data Notes <br> Health Insurance Coverage in America: 2002 Update


#### Abstract

All data contained in this report are based on a collaborative analysis of the Census Bureau's March 2003 Current Population Survey (CPS; Annual Social and Economic Supplements) by analysts at the Kaiser Commission on Medicaid and the Uninsured and the Urban Institute. The March CPS is designed primarily to study cash and noncash income among the civilian, noninstitutionalized population each year, but has also been the key source of health insurance coverage information since 1980 when such questions were first added.


## Changes in the Census Bureau's Current Population Survey

No major changes have been made in the way in which the survey is conducted or how the questions about health insurance are worded in the past year (2002 data). However, one major change and two lesser changes have been made since 1999 that do affect health coverage comparisons over time. Over the years improvements in the CPS' sample and questionnaire have been made in an effort to obtain better estimates of the number of people with health coverage. The trade-off is that these changes hamper the ability to trend data across years.

The most recent change to the questionnaire itself consisted of adding a small set of new questions in 1999, designed to double-check a respondent's answers about whether or not they had health insurance coverage over the entire calendar year. In the past, the CPS asked respondents whether they had various types of private or public health insurance and those who did not report any coverage at all were categorized as uninsured for the whole year. In March 2000, the Census Bureau tested the use of additional "verification" questions - i.e., asking the respondent who had not identified any health insurance coverage thus far in the survey if in fact, he or she was uninsured throughout the entire year and if not, what kind of health insurance the person had.

As expected, adding the new questions resulted in a decrease in the number of uninsured. For example, the revised estimate of the number of nonelderly uninsured was 3.5 million less (eight percent lower) compared to the unrevised questionnaire's estimate for the year 2000. Health insurance estimates for 1999 going forward that use the revised questionnaire cannot be compared with CPS estimates from earlier years - unless analysts simulate the effect of the new questions on the previous years' data.

In March 2001 the Census Bureau also significantly enlarged the size of the CPS sample - 78,000 households were interviewed in 2001. Congress funded the larger sample following the enactment of SCHIP because data from the CPS are used in the S-CHIP funding formula to states (the number of lowincome children and uninsured low-income children). The CPS has enlarged the sample by targeting households with children and those with a member of a racial/ethnic minority group. ${ }^{1}$

[^7]While national estimates change hardly at all, the larger sample size provides more precise estimates of health coverage in all states, reducing the amount of error by $20 \%-30 \%$. Smaller changes in a state's health coverage with less variation over time will be able to be monitored - with the greatest impact on states with small or diverse populations. Single year estimates of health insurance coverage in each state are now available, where in the past in order to get reliable estimates in all states it was necessary to pool two or three years of data together. The expanded sample however is not large enough to study all subgroups within a state (for example, differences across minority groups) and pooled data years are still necessary for this level of analysis.

Lastly, because the CPS is only a sample of the total U.S. population, each respondent represents thousands of people and is assigned a "weight" based on the most recent decennial census. Data for 2000, 2001, and 2002 have now been weighted to Census 2000 in the most recent public data releases.

All data in Health Insurance Coverage in America: 2002 Data Update are based on the revised questionnaire, use the expanded sample, and are weighted to Census 2000 except for Figure 1 where the method differences are noted to allow some comparison over a longer period of time.

## Variation in Health Insurance Estimates from Different Surveys

Health coverage estimates from national surveys vary considerably depending on how questions are phrased and how long a period people are asked to recall their experiences. The prime example is the difference in the number of uninsured between the CPS and the Survey of Income and Program Participation (SIPP) - another Census Bureau survey begun in 1983, designed to collect information every four months about income and health insurance coverage over a period of two and a half years. SIPP data are not available on an annual basis however. Other national surveys that produce health insurance coverage estimates include the Medical Expenditure Panel Survey and the National Health Interview Survey.

The CPS is intended to measure the number of people who are uninsured for the entire year, however when compared to other national surveys that measure health coverage at the time of the survey, the estimates more closely approximate the number of people uninsured at a specific point in time during a year.

A recent Congressional Budget Office report compared other ways of describing the number of uninsured and show the marked difference that the time period being studied can make. Using 1998 as the reference year, they found that the number of uninsured at a specific point in time was between 39 and 43 million, depending on the national survey used. In contrast the number of uninsured at any time during the year was about 58 million. ${ }^{2}$

## Medicaid Under-Reporting

Medicaid estimates from the CPS remain problematic even with the revised questionnaire. The CPS' Medicaid estimates are consistently lower than Medicaid enrollment numbers from the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services, even after adjustments. For example, in 2002 the number participating in Medicaid for at least some part of the year was 45 million; our CPS estimate identified 30 million persons with Medicaid coverage for at least part of 2002. According to the Census Bureau, a
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major reason for the lower CPS estimates is because interviewers receive less training in this area because the primary purpose of the survey is not to collect health insurance information. Since respondents may not always be aware that the coverage they have is part of the Medicaid program they may be misclassified (particularly when given cards for managed care plans contracted by Medicaid or when states use other names for their public coverage programs). ${ }^{3}$

In this report we do not adjust for Medicaid under-reporting beyond what the Census Bureau has routinely done for its public use files. The Census Bureau attempts to correct for the under-reporting by assigning Medicaid coverage to those who are generally regarded as "categorically eligible" because they receive other public assistance payments. However, the link between Medicaid and cash assistance no longer exists, which makes the imputation process less valid, compounded by the fact that the number of people receiving public assistance has been decreasing. For this reason, trends in Medicaid coverage need to be interpreted cautiously.

In addition, the survey added a new question in 2000 about coverage through the S-CHIP, which could potentially affect Medicaid estimates if respondents are confused about the two programs in their state. For example, many reporting S-CHIP coverage of their children have family incomes that would qualify them for Medicaid. In this report, persons who indicated they had S-CHIP coverage are categorized as having Medicaid coverage.

## Classifying by Type of Health Coverage

Current Population Survey respondents are asked to report all sources of health insurance and some have more than one source in the course of a calendar year. It is not possible to tell if the sources were simultaneous or at different times in the year. In order to analyze the distributions of health insurance nationally and on the state level we assign those who report more than one type of insurance in a year to a single category, so that mutually exclusive categories of insurance coverage are created.

Recognizing that Medicaid coverage is frequently under-reported, we assign everyone who says they had Medicaid coverage during the past year to the Medicaid category. For example, a person who reports Medicaid and employer-based coverage from her own employer in the past year would be assigned to the Medicaid category in this report. The sorting hierarchy used in this report prioritizes Medicaid, followed by: employer-based (own job), employer-based (from another's job), other public coverage (Medicare and military-related coverage), other private (non-group coverage), and uninsured (which also includes those who have no insurance but are able to use the Indian Health Service).

[^9]
## Grouping Household Members

Family income and the work status of family members are important factors related to health coverage, so the way in which individuals living together in one household are grouped becomes important to the analysis. In this report (as well as the last two years' reports) we grouped individuals according to their insurance eligibility, rather than relatedness. The term family as used in family income, family poverty levels, and family work status, is defined as a health insurance unit throughout this report. A health insurance unit includes members of a nuclear family who can be covered under one health insurance policy (i.e., policyholder, spouse, children under 19, full-time students under 23). For example, a household with a 25 year-old man living with his parents would need two separate health policies (two health insurance units) because the son could not be covered as a dependent on his parents' insurance plan. Accordingly, we also assign the son's income separately from his parents' income. Other analysts, including the Census Bureau, may group individuals by households or relatedness and so in this example they would count all three adults as one family with a single income.

Grouping individuals by health insurability vs. relatedness or households increases the number of lowincome people. Using the health insurance unit as the basis of analysis is important when trying to estimate the number of people who might be affected by health insurance policy changes.
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[^0]:    * Statistically significant change between 2001 and 2002 (p<.10). Medicaid also includes S-CHIP, other state programs, Medicare and military-related coverage. Medicare and military coverage represent $2 \%$ of health coverage among the nonelderly.
    KCMU / Urban Institute 2003

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Asian group includes Pacific Islanders. ${ }^{2}$ American Indian group includes Aleutian Eskimos. 200\% of poverty level $=$ $\$ 28,696$ for family of 3 in 2002.

[^2]:    ( ) = Estimate has a large $95 \%$ confidence interval of $+/-5.0-7.9$ percentage points.

[^3]:    ( ) = Estimate has a large 95\% confidence interval of $+/-5.0-7.9$ percentage points. Estimates with larger margins of error are not provided.

[^4]:    ( ) = Estimate has a large 95\% confidence interval of +/-5.0-7.9 percentage points.

[^5]:    ( ) = Estimate has a large 95\% confidence interval of +/- 5.0-7.9 percentage points.

[^6]:    ( ) = Estimate has a large 95\% confidence interval of $+/-5.0-7.9$ percentage points.
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